

STEREO *IMPACT*

FM2 SWEA Magnetics Test Report

IMPACT-SWEA-FM2-Magnetics-Report.doc
Version A – 2005-May-18

David Curtis, UCB IMPACT Project Manager

Document Revision Record

Rev.	Date	Description of Change	Approved By
A	2005-May-18	Preliminary Draft	-

Distribution List

Dave Curtis, UCB
Lil Richenthal, GSFC
Mario Acuna, GSFC

Table of Contents

Document Revision Record.....i
Distribution List i
1. Overview 1
 1.1. *Introduction* 1
 1.2. *Applicable Documents*..... 1
2. Test Setup 3
3. Test Data..... 4
 3.1. *Axis 1, 60cm* 4
 3.2. *Axis 1, 30cm* 5
 3.3. *Axis 2 60cm* 6
 3.4. *Axis 2, 30cm* 7
 3.5. *Axis 3, 60cm* 8
 3.6. *Axis 3, 30cm* 9
4. Analysis..... 10

1. Overview

1.1. *Introduction*

The Solar Wind Electron Analyzer and Supra-Thermal Electron Detector (Downstream) (SWEA/STE-D) is the part of the STEREO IMPACT instrument suite. It resides at the end of the IMPACT boom, ~1m from the MAG sensor.

This document describes the results of the magnetics testing performed on the FM2 SWEA unit. This testing was performed at U.C. Berkeley.

1.2. *Applicable Documents*

The following documents are closely interrelated with this specification. All documents can be found on the Berkeley STEREO/IMPACT FTP site unless otherwise indicated:

<http://sprg.ssl.berkeley.edu/impact/dwc/>

1. APL Document APL 7381-9003 Rev A – STEREO Environment Definition, Observatory and Instrument (on APL web site)



Fig 1. FM1 SWEA/STE-D

2. Test Setup

The SWEA/STE-D was tested on the THEMIS magnetic test facility consisting of a test stand capable of rotating the instrument a fixed distance from a Meda FVM-400 tri-axial magnetometer sensor. The test stand is non-magnetic, which was demonstrated by spinning the stand with no instrument attached ($\sim 2\text{nT}$ response at 30cm).




The facility background included occasional drifts of up to tens of nT. This background was avoided by spinning the instrument several times at $\sim 0.5\text{Hz}$, so that the peak to peak field measurement stood out clearly from the background.

Measurements were taken at both 30cm and 60cm. The rule of thumb is to measure at least 3x the long dimension of the unit under test. The 30cm measurement was a consistency check.


Field Samples were taken at 5Hz and recorded on a PC.

The instrument was bagged in lumalloy to avoid contamination.


3. Test Data




MAG
Sensor




3.1. Axis 1, 60cm






MAG
Sensor

3.2. Axis 1, 30cm







MAG
Sensor




3.3. Axis 2 60cm







MAG
Sensor




3.4. Axis 2, 30cm







MAG
Sensor




3.5. Axis 3, 60cm






MAG
Sensor



3.6. Axis 3, 30cm



4. Analysis

The static data at 60cm shows maximum peak-to-peak variations of $\sim 10\text{nT}$ at the spin period (Axis 3, Z; the Z magnetometer axis is along the vector from SWEA to the sensor). That corresponds to a magnetic moment of $2\text{-}5\text{nT}\cdot\text{m}^3$, and a field at the Magnetometer sensor, $\sim 1\text{m}$ away, of $\sim 1\text{nT}$. The spacecraft-level goal is 1nT DC, so we are a significant factor for the MAG, but not terrible. The reduction by degaussing of a factor of 3 is actually of some concern because this indicates the variability of the field with time as the unit perms up.

The MAG PI has declared this a typical and acceptable level.