



IMPACT measures the invisible particles and fields that reach across interplanetary space from the Sun to affect the Earth



# Volume 1

# STEREO IMPACT Introduction & Science Overview

### **IMPACT Preliminary Design Review**

IMPACT Web Site: http://sprg.ssl.berkeley.edu/impact

#### Agenda

11 Sept.		
09:00	Introduction, Science Overview	Luhmann
09:45	IMPACT Project Overview	Curtis
10:00	IMPACT System Engineering	Curtis
11:00	Reliability	Sanford
11:15	MAG	Acuna
12:00	Lunch	
13:00	Boom (Mechanical, Thermal)	Ullrich
14:00	SWEA	Cotin
15:00	STE	Curtis
16:00	IDPU / LVPS	Curtis
17:00	EGSE	Curtis
17:30	Suite Integration and Test	Curtis
12 Sept.		
09:00	SEP Overview	Tycho
10:00	SEPT	Tycho
11:00	HET	Tycho
12:00	Lunch	
13:00	LET, LET/HET Detector Status	Wiedenbeck
14:00	SEP Central Electronics (&LET electronics)	Cook
15:00	SIT	Mason
16:00	SEP Mechanical & FOV	Tycho
16:45	SEP Thermal	Hawk
17:00	SEP I&T	Tycho
17:30	SEP Issues and Concerns	Tycho
17:45	Mission Operations & Data Analysis plans	Curtis
18:00	Action Item Review	

#### IMPACT (In-situ Measurements of Particles and CME Transients) Instrument Overview

- Boom Suite:
  - Solar Wind Electron Analyzer (SWEA)
  - Suprathermal Electron Telescope (STE)
  - Magnetometer (MAG)
- Solar Energetic Particles Package (SEP)
  - Suprathermal Ion Telescope (SIT)
  - Solar Electron and Proton Telescope (SEPT)
  - Low Energy telescope (LET)
  - High Energy Telescope (HET)



#### **Investigation Goals and Objectives**

- The equivalent of an L1 solar wind electron / SEP (Solar Energetic Particle) monitor for each imager in the STEREO pair
- In-situ measurements complementing limb observations by imagers on the partner spacecraft
- Long periods of longitudinally separated, identical in-situ measurements to deduce large scale structures
- A data management plan integrating solar wind electron, SEP, and magnetometer measurements, in coordination with PLASTIC solar wind ions, SWAVES plasma and radio waves, and SECCHI imaging
- A data analysis plan with a critical modeling component to make physical connections between the solar (imaging, SWAVES) and in-situ observations
- Connections to space weather applications via in-situ beacon data on the solar wind electron, interplanetary transients, and SEPs plus insights from science analyses

#### **Team Member Institutions and Primary Roles**

- University of California, Berkeley-Space Sciences Laboratory (IMPACT Management, SWEA, STE, IDPU)
- NASA Goddard Space Flight Center (MAG, SEP-LET, HET)
- California Institute of Technology (SEP-LET,HET)
- University of Maryland (SEP-SIT)
- University of Kiel (SEP-SEPT)
- Centre d'Etude Spatiale des Rayonnements CESR (SWEA)
- Los Alamos National Laboratory (Science Integration, SEP-SIT)
- Max Planck Institut fur Aeronomie (SEP-SIT)
- Jet Propulsion Laboratory (SEP-LET,HET)
- ESTEC-European Space Agency (SEP-SEPT)
- DESPA Observatoire de Paris-Meudon (SWAVES/IMPACT coordination)
- University of California, Los Angeles (MAG, IMPACT Data Web)
- SAIC-Science Applications International Corporation (IMPACT Modeling)
- NOAA Space Environment Center (IMPACT Modeling, Space Weather Applications)
- University of Michigan (IMPACT Modeling)
- KFKI-Hungarian Research Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics (SEP Modeling)



#### **Organization Chart**

#### Communications

- Within Team:
  - Bi-weekly Team telecons followed by e-mail summaries (also archived on team website)
  - Weekly SEP group telecons
  - Project Manager website with reports, schedules, action items, etc.
  - Semi-annual Team meetings
  - E-mails, telecons as needed
- With Project:
  - Weekly All-Project telecons participation (Curtis, von Rosenvinge, Luhmann)
  - Weekly Project/IMPACT telecons
  - Participation in Bi-monthly APL Coordination Meetings as needed
  - Peer Reviews
  - Support Project site visits
  - Support Biannual SWG meetings



IMPACT measures the invisible particles and fields that reach across interplanetary space from the Sun to affect the Earth



#### In Situ Measurements



#### **STEREO Science Objectives**

- 1. Understand the causes and mechanisms of CME initiation.
  - STE
- 2. Characterize the propagation of CMEs through the heliosphere.
  - MAG,SWEA,SIT,SEPT,LET,HET
- 3. Discover the mechanisms and sites of energetic particle acceleration in the low corona and the interplanetary medium.
  - STE, SIT, SEPT, LET, HET
- 4. Improved determination of the structure of the ambient solar wind.
  - MAG, SWEA, STE

Janet Luhmann

#### **STEREO Level 1 Science Requirements**

- 1. Determine the evolution of the CME mass distribution as the CME propagates from the low corona to 1 AU (Objective 2)
- 2. Determine the CME speed as the CME propagates from the low corona to 1 AU (Objective 2) *MAG, SWEA*
- 3. Determine the direction of CME propagation as the CME evolves from the low corona to 1AU (Objective 2) *MAG,SIT,SEPT,LET,HET*
- 4. Determine the CME initiation time to an accuracy of order 10 minutes. (Objective 1)
- Determine the location of CME initiation to within +/- 1 deg solar latitude and solar longitude. (Objective 1) STE
- 6. Obtain the time series of solar wind temperature measurements at two points separated in solar longitude. (Objective 4) *SWEA, STE*
- 7. Obtain the time series of solar wind velocity measurements at two points separated in solar longitude. (Objective 4) SWEA
- 8. Obtain the time series of solar wind magnetic field measurements at two points separated in solar longitude. (Objective 4) *MAG, SWEA, STE*
- 9. Obtain the time series of solar wind density measurements at two points separated in solar longitude. (Objective 4) **SWEA**
- 10. Determine the location of particle acceleration in the low corona and through the interplanetary medium. (Objective 3) *SIT, SEPT, HET, LET*
- 11. Characterize the energetic particle distribution functions in situ for electrons and ions at particle energies typical of solar energetic particles. (Obj. 3) STE, SIT, SEPT, HET, LET

#### **IMPACT Level 1 Science Requirements and Objectives**



#### **MAG Science**

- Identify ICMEs at one or two of the STEREO sites, providing information on their global scale and uniformity, including their associated interplanetary shocks (strength, surface orientations), compressed solar wind sheaths, and internal field configurations (e.g. flux rope or other, flux rope orientation, size, and handedness, and ejecta flux content)
  - for relating to the solar observations and determining potential geoeffectiveness
- Define ambient solar wind conditions at the two spacecraft, including stream ۲ structures and interfaces, and heliospheric current sheet crossings
  - for relating to solar observations, and determining potential geoeffects from high speed streams and stream interfaces alone, as well as the effects of the ICME on ambient conditions, and ambient conditions on the ICME
- Organize local particle (solar wind and SEP) distribution functions including IMPACT/SWEA, STE, and SEP electrons, and PLASTIC and IMPACT/SEP ions.
  - SWEA heat flux electrons for inferring field topology and connections to the Sun, STE electrons for inferring field connections to active regions, PLASTIC solar wind and ICME ions for deducing plasma ion sources, and SEPs from SIT, SEPT, LET, HET for deducing SEP origin, acceleration, and propagation processes
- Determine properties of low frequency waves in the interplanetary medium
  - for characterizing waves at ICME shocks that play a role in SEP acceleration and propagation, and large Alfven wavetrains that sometimes accompany ICMEs and produce geoeffects of their own
- Provide a measure of one of the fundamental heliospheric MHD parameters
  - for comparisons with 3-D models of shocks and other solar wind and ICME features that will be used to connect the SECCHI observations to the STEREO in-situ observations Janet Luhmann



#### **SWEA Science**

- SWEA measures the 3-D electron distribution function from ~0-3000 eV with nearly complete (90% of  $4\pi$ ) angular coverage. This energy range includes the thermal core population (<60 eV) and the highly anisotropic, suprathermal halo population (>60 eV) that carries the majority of the solar wind heat flux. Some specific goals of SWEA are to:
- **Provide direct comparison of in-situ density measurements with coronagraph images.** SECCHI coronagraph images are a measure of column integrated electron density and can be compared to electron density measured in-situ by SWEA on the complementary STEREO spacecraft.
- **Determine magnetic field topology.** Suprathermal (>60 eV) electrons are excellent tracers of magnetic field topology. For example, Bi-directional streaming is a signature of magnetic field lines that are connected at both ends to the Sun.
- **Provide a remote probe of coronal electron temperature.** Measurements of the slope of the distribution function at electron energies > 100 eV provide a tool for remote sensing of the inner coronal electron temperature.
- Identify ICMEs at one or both of the STEREO spacecraft locations. Bi-directional electron distribution are one of the primary signatures of ICMEs. These measurements are also useful for identifying ambient solar wind conditions such as stream structures, interfaces and heliospheric current sheet crossings.
- **Provide measurements of fundamental plasma parameters.** Density, velocity, electron temperature and pressure measurements are critical for comparison with 3-D models of solar wind and ICME propagation and relating to solar observations and determining potential geoeffectiveness.

### Preliminary Design Review 2001-September 11,12

#### **SWEA Science**

"Strahl" electrons in the SWEA ~80eV – 1keV energy range often show counterstreaming, field-aligned beams inside the CME-related interplanetary "flux rope", suggesting connection to the Sun at both ends.

(Figure courtesy of D.Larson, UCB)



#### **STE Science**

- STE measures electron fluxes in the 2-100 keV energy range where shock acceleration and flare-site acceleration begin to become important sources.. Some specific goals of SWEA are to:
- Identify the solar source and magnetic footpoints of ICMEs. Impulsively accelerated electrons detected in an ICME can be tracked by the type III radio burst they produce from 1 AU back to the Sun, where the parent flare-like event can be imaged.
- **Determine the length of field lines in ICMEs.** The field line length can be obtained by analyzing the velocity dispersion (the arrival of the faster electrons first) in impulsive events.
- **Probe particle acceleration near the Sun in both impulsive and gradual (CME-related) solar energetic particle (SEP) events.** Energy loss effects in traversing the corona will show up in the STE energy range, thus providing the column depth to the acceleration region. Velocity dispersion studies of STE electrons and SEP ions probe the timing and heights of the ion and electron acceleration.
- Probe the in situ acceleration of electrons by ICME shocks waves, and identify the shock parameters that lead to type II radio emission. The ICME shock accelerated ~1-10 keV electrons produce the type II radio emission used to track ICMEs from the Sun to 1 AU. STE measurements will probe the in situ acceleration of electrons by ICME shocks and determine the shock parameters that lead to type II radio emission.
- Identify the source (presently unknown) of the superhalo (~1-100 keV) electrons that are always present in the interplanetary medium. STE's high sensitivity will enable unambiguous measurements of angular distributions and spectra to identify the source.

### Preliminary Design Review 2001-September 11,12

#### **STE Science**

STE energy range (>3keV) suprathermal electrons show a velocity dispersion inside ICMEs, indicating field line path-length to an active region source. Radio bursts accompany the injections.

(From Larson et al., 1997)





#### **SEP Science**

- Solar Energetic Particle (SEP) studies with STEREO have four main objectives:
  - To understand how and where CMEs accelerate charged particles
  - To characterize properties of the subset of CMEs that do accelerate particles
  - To complement STEREO images by sensing remotely ICME and magnetic field structures
  - To develop tools for improved forecasts of large SEP events and/or to warn of their onset
- To address these objectives requires:
  - Composition over a broad energy/intensity range
  - Excellent temporal resolution
  - Spatially separated spacecraft
- Composition data from LET, SIT and HET will:
  - Distinguish flare-accelerated & CME-shock accelerated particles
  - Identify seed populations (coronal, solar wind, suprathermal ions, pickup ions)
  - Investigate the physics of particle acceleration with ions of differing charge/mass ratios (Fe/O, 3He/4He,22Ne/20Ne, p/e)
  - Investigate how proton-generated waves throttle escape of various species from the shock

#### **SEP Science** (Continued)

- Broad energy coverage (HET/LET/SIT for composition; SEPT for protons/electrons) will:
  - Allow comparison of measured energy spectra with acceleration models
  - Provide a larger event sample (from small flare-related events to large CME-driven events)
  - Investigate acceleration processes continuously from injection energies to their upper limit
  - Measure 10 to 100 MeV/nucleon proton & alpha-particle intensities of space weather interest
  - Provide a broad range of particle intensities for the STEREO Beacon Mode
- Spatially separated measurements are needed to:
  - Investigate the spatial extent of acceleration along the shock
  - Measure in situ interplanetary parameters of CMEs that accelerate particles seen by trailing S/C
  - Develop tools to enable forecasts of SEP radiation hazards with future interplanetary networks
- In summary, SEP IMPACT Measurements will address key objectives for STEREO and Living with a Star:
  - Provide "ground-truth" for interpreting CME images in terms of energetic-particle production and transport, and for investigating the consequences of CMEs
  - Provide the tools to understand and eventually forecast large solar particle events
  - Provide key data for the STEREO Beacon Mode
  - Address important LWS goals that include investigating the solar-cycle and spatial dependence of solar-particle events and mitigating solar-particle risks

#### **SEP Science**



Interplanetary ion spectrum for several species from solar wind through SEP energies, from ACE, showing IMPACT's range of measurement

(adapted from the ACE science center news letters, figure by Mewaldt, Gloeckler and Mason)



#### Science Goals: Acceleration and Transport of Energetic Particles by Coronal Mass Ejections



Reames, Kahler, & Ng, 1997, ApJ 491, 414. 104 lelios 1 103 lelios 2 AP 8 10<sup>2</sup> 101 10<sup>0</sup> 10<sup>-1</sup> Hel 2 Sun 10<sup>-2</sup> Hel 1 .W50 10<sup>-3</sup> 3-6 MeV Protons JMP 8 10-4 28 24 26 23 25 27 78 Sep Time Interval A Time Interval B 10<sup>2</sup> 101 100 10 10<sup>-2</sup> 10<sup>-3</sup> 100 100 20 40 2 10 20 40 2 6 10 Energy (MeV) Energy (MeV)

Protons/(cm<sup>2</sup> sr s MeV)

SEP observations at different solar longitudes show substantial differences in intensity profiles even though the spacecraft are not widely separated in longitude. The measured energy fluxes and spectra reflect these differences.

24

#### **SEP Elemental Abundances During Two Different Events**



SEP ion composition information indicates whether sources are coronal (e.g. flare related) or interplanetary shock generated.

(From Reames, Ng,and Tylka, 2000)

Example of weak Interplanetary shock observation enhanced by Burst Mode



#### **Burst Mode Science**

10<sup>-6</sup>1

10<sup>-6</sup>

10<sup>-4</sup>

#### **IMPACT / PLASTIC Energy Coverage** 10<sup>4</sup> (eV/q) 10<sup>10</sup> 10<sup>0</sup> 10<sup>2</sup> 10<sup>8</sup> 10<sup>6</sup> 10<sup>8</sup> 10<sup>6</sup> **SWEA** SW PLASTIC 10<sup>4</sup> Energy Flux (#/cm<sup>2</sup>/s/ster) STE 10<sup>2</sup> SEPT HET Electrons SEPT $(p+\alpha)$ HET Protons 10<sup>0</sup> LEI SIT He LET SEPT HET SEP 10<sup>-2</sup>L <sup>3</sup>He SIT LET HET Z > 2SIT HET 10<sup>-4</sup>) LET LET $^{22}Ne/^{20}Ne$

10<sup>-2</sup>

Energy (MeV or MeV/nuc) 27

100

Janet Luhmann

10<sup>2</sup>

 $10^{4}$ 

Experiment	Instrument	Measurement	Energy or Mag.	Time Res.	Beacon Time	Instrument provider
Experiment	instrument	Wieusur einent	field range	Thie Res.	Res. (*)	instrument provider
SW	STE	Electron flux and	2-100 keV	16 s	2D x 3E, 60s	UCB (Lin)
		anistropy				
	SWEA	3D electron distrib.,	~0-3 keV	3D=1 min	Moments,	CESR (Sauvaud)
		core & halo density,		2D=8s	60s	+ UCB (Lin)
		temp. & anisotropy		Mom.=2s		
MAG	MAG	Vector field	±500nT,	1/4 s	60s	GSFC (Acuna)
			±65536 nT			
SEP	SIT	He to Fe ions	0.03-2 MeV/nuc	30 s	3S x 2E, 60s	U. of Md. (Mason)
		<sup>3</sup> He	0.15-0.25	30 s		+ MPAE (Korth)
			MeV/nuc			+ GSFC (von Rosenvinge)
	SEPT	Diff. electron flux	20-400 keV	1 min	3E, 60s	U. of Kiel (Mueller-
		Diff. proton flux	20-7000 keV	1 min	3E, 60s	Mellin)
		Anistropies of e,p	As above	15 min		+ ESTEC (Sanderson)
	LET	Ion mass 2-28 &	1.5-40 MeV/nuc	1-15 min.	2S x 2E, 60s	Caltech (Mewaldt)
		anisotropy				+ GSFC (von Rosenvinge)
		<sup>3</sup> He ions flux &	1.5-1.6 MeV/nuc	15 min.	1E, 60s	+ JPL (Wiedenbeck)
		anistropy				
		H ions flux &	1.5-3.5 MeV	1-15 min.	1E, 60s	
		anistropy				
	HET	Electrons flux	1-8 MeV	1-15 min.	1E, 60s	GSFC (von Rosenvinge)
		Н	13-100 MeV	1-15 min.	1E, 60s	+ Caltech (Mewaldt)
		He	13-100 MeV	1-15 min.	1E, 60s	+ JPL (Wiedenbeck)
		<sup>3</sup> He	15-60 MeV/nuc	15 min		
	SEP					Caltech (Mewaldt)
	Common					+ GSFC (von Rosenvinge)
IMPACT	IDPU					UCB (Curtis)
Common	(+Mag					
	Analog)					

#### **Science Summary**

IMPACT Science Summary

(\*) E=Energies, S=Species, D=directions

### **IMPACT Science Concerns**

- Continuous data
- Average interplanetary field pointing for SEP instruments
- Unobstructed FOVs
- 3-6 m boom/mast with STE in shadow
- Electrostatic and Magnetic "Cleanliness"
- Closely coordinated operations with PLASTIC and SWAVES
- Resources



# **IMPACT Project Overview**

### Organization

- IMPACT Suite managed by PI (Luhmann) and PM (Curtis) at UCB
  - SEP Team coordinated by von Rosenvinge at GSFC
  - Boom suite coordinated by Bob Lin at UCB
- Instrument Hardware developed at Co-Investigator Institutions (lead institution and Co-I listed):
  - MAG at GSFC (Acuna)
  - SWEA at CESR (Sauvaud)
  - STE at UCB (Larson)
  - Boom at UCB (Ullrich)
  - IDPU at UCB (Curtis)
  - HET at GSFC (Tycho)
  - LET at Caltech (Mewaldt)
  - SEPT at Kiel (Mueller-Mellin)
  - SIT at University of Maryland (Mason)
  - SEP Common Electronics at Caltech (Mewaldt)

#### Contracting

- Project Contracts UCB
  - Phase A, Bridge contract complete
  - Phase B/C/D Contract in place
- UCB Subcontracts Caltech/JPL, University of Maryland, LBNL, UCLA
  - Phase A, Bridge contract complete
  - Phase B/C/D subcontracts in place (?)
- Modeler's subcontracts on hold until closer to launch
- GSFC funded directly by Project
  - Funding in place
- Project contracts with LANL directly
  - Phase A, Bridge contract complete
  - Phase B/C/D contract in place (?)
- CESR funded by CNES (France)
  - Funding in place
- Kiel, Max Planck funded by DLR (Germany)
  - Funding in place
- ESTEC funded by ESA
  - Funding in place

#### **Development Plan**

- Each subsystem will develop some level of ETU to prove the design concept
- The different ETUs shall be tested together to verify interfaces
- Most ETU testing will be complete by CDR
- ETUs shall be maintained through the life of the mission to provide a test bed for changes
- Flight unit assembly shall generally start following CDR
  - Long lead flight part procurement shall start following PDR
- Subassemblies shall be functionally tested and calibrated at the home institution
  - Some environmental tests will be performed at the subassembly level, such as Vibration and Thermal Vacuum, on a case by case basis.
- SEP subassemblies shall be integrated and tested at Caltech
- Boom subassemblies shall be integrated and tested at UCB
- The full suite will come together for EMC testing

#### Schedule

- Complex multi-institutional interlocking development effort
- A top-level set of mile-stones marking the interactions between institutions has been developed
- Lower-level schedules maintained by each institution, linked to the top level milestone schedule
- Milestone schedule has been hierarchically divided into Top level and SEP
  - SEP deliveries to the rest of the team described on the top-level schedule
  - Deliveries internal to SEP described by the SEP milestone schedule
  - SEP Milestone schedule controlled by SEP team

#### **IMPACT Milestone Schedule**

ID	Task Name	Start
1	IMPACT Milestones	2/2/00
1	Project Phases	2/2/00
7	Project Milestones	2/2/00
8	IMPACT Phase A Contract	2/2/00
9	SRR	5/24/00
11	PDR	9/30/01
18	CDR	11/1/02
25	PER	9/7/03
32	Instrument Delivery	10/1/04
33	Launch	11/12/05
34	IMPACT Milestones	6/9/00
35	System (UCB)	6/9/00
36	Phase A Report	8/30/00
37	Spacecraft ICD Draft	10/31/00
38	Spacecraft ICD Signoff	9/30/01
39	IDPU/Instrument Interface Spec C	6/9/00
43	SWEA ICD Draft	3/6/01
47	IMPACT/SWAVES ICD Draft	9/30/01
48	Power (UCB)	7/31/00
49	Draft LVPS Requirements	7/31/00
52	Freeze LVPS Requirements	9/30/01
56	ETU STE Bias Available	3/1/02
58	ETU SIT HVPS Available	4/25/02
60	ETU IDPU LVPS Available	5/17/02
63	ETU SEP LVPS Available	6/14/02
65	ETU SWEA/STE LVPS Available	7/1/02
68	ETU PLASTIC LVPS to UNH	11/1/02
70	FM1 Power Supplies Available	6/3/03
75	FM2 Power Supplies Available	12/3/03
77	IMPACT Boom (UCB)	9/30/01
78	Prototype Boom Available	9/30/01
80	FM1 Boom Available	11/18/03
81	FM2 Boom Available	11/18/03
82	IDPU (UCB)	7/19/01
85	MAG/DCB PWB Form Factor	7/19/01
89	ETU IDPU Complete	8/23/02
93	FM1 IDPU Complete	11/6/03
97	FM2 IDPU Complete	3/18/04

ID	Task Name	Start
99	SWEA/STE (UCB)	12/28/01
101	ETU STE Complete	12/28/01
103	FM1 STE Complete	9/26/03
105	FM2 STE Complete	1/30/04
107	ETU SWEA Complete	7/26/02
110	FM1 SWEA Complete	12/19/03
113	FM2 SWEA Complete	2/27/04
114	SWEA (CESR)	7/12/02
116	ETU SWEA to UCB	7/12/02
119	FM1 SWEA to UCB	11/3/03
122	FM2 SWEA to UCB	1/1/04
124	MAG (GSFC)	1/17/02
125	ETU MAG to UCB	1/17/02
128	FM1 MAG to UCB	6/2/03
130	FM2 MAG to UCB	12/1/03
134	SEP (GSFC/Caltech)	1/24/03
135	ETU SEP to UCB for Interface Te	1/24/03
137	FM1 SEP to UCB for Interface Te	4/16/04
139	FM1 SEP to UCB for EMC	6/25/04
141	FM1 SEP to UCB	9/10/04
142	FM2 SEP to UCB	9/10/04
143	PLASTIC (UNH)	6/1/01
144	PLASTIC Software Requirements	6/1/01
146	PLASTIC Simulator to UCB	11/1/02
148	ETU IDPU/PLASTIC test at UNH	10/1/03
149	FM IDPU/PLASTIC Test at UNH	5/3/04
150	GSE (UCB)	12/20/01
151	PLASTIC IDPU Simulator to UNH	11/1/02
153	MAG IDPU Simulator to GSFC	12/20/01
155	SEP IDPU Simulator to Caltech	12/20/01
156	SWEA/STE IDPU Simulator to U(	12/20/01
158	Command & Display GSE Rev 1.	12/13/02
160	MAG Science Display Available	12/27/02
162	SWEA Science Display Available	1/24/03
164	STE Science Display Available	2/7/03
165	Spacecraft Emulators (APL)	6/22/01
166	Emulator #1 Hardware to UCB	6/22/01
167	Emulator Interim Software (Telem	7/1/02
169	Emulator Hardware #2 to UCB	12/2/02
170	Emulator Full Software to UCB	12/2/02
### **SEP Milestone Schedule**

Peer Review, SEP	4/19/01
Phase B start	6/1/01
Peer Review, IDPU	6/13/01
VLSI chip definition to SIT & HET	6/27/01
Peer Review, Wrapup	8/2/01
PDR	9/11/01
Confirmation Review	3/4/02
Phase CD start	3/4/02
Flight VLSI chips delivery to SIT & HET	4/17/02
All flight detectors ordered	4/29/02
LVPS EM available from UCB	6/17/02
Prelim. I/F test with IDPU	10/4/02
CDR	11/4/02
Detector testing complete	2/3/03
GSE ready	4/28/03
HET delivery to Caltech	6/11/03
Boards fabricated and tested	7/4/03
Integration of LET complete	7/23/03
SIT delivery to Caltech	7/30/03
Integration of HET complete	9/3/03
SEPT delivery to Caltech	9/10/03
Integration of SIT complete	10/22/03
Final flight firmware complete	10/22/03
Integration of SEPT complete	12/10/03
Integration of LET/HET/SIT/SEPT complete	2/4/04
Ready to integrate SEP with IDPU	2/23/04
End-to-end test at accelerator	5/10/04
SEP Env Test Starts	5/31/04
IMPACT EMC Test Starts	6/25/04
Functional/env. testing complete	9/10/04
SEP Delivery to UCB	9/10/04
Pre-ship review	9/27/04
Launch	12/8/05

### Schedule Analysis

- The suite flight hardware first all come together at the EMC test. The pacing item in scheduling the EMC test is currently the SEP delivery from Caltech (following SEP calibration and thermal vac)
- There are 7 weeks of slack in the SEP schedule prior to EMC
- A number of subsystems pace the SEP development with similar amounts of slack:
  - The VLSI (ASIC) used in HET, LET, and SIT
  - The LET board
  - The LET MISC board
  - The SSD bias supply
  - SEP Firmware
- There are 2 weeks of slack between EMC testing and delivery to the spacecraft
- There is some distributed slack in the development effort based on conservative scheduling estimates



# SYSTEM ENGINEERING



### **IMPACT Instrument Locations on the Spacecraft**





### **IMPACT Instrument Locations on the Spacecraft**

### Ahead Spacecraft

### **Behind Spacecraft**



### **Boom Suite (Stowed)**





David Curtis



**SEP HET/LET/SIT/Common Electronics** 





### SEP/SEPT





### Heritage

Instrument	Institution	Heritage
MAG	GSFC	Wind, MGS, ACE, LP
SWEA	CESR / UCB	MGS, Cluster, Giotto, Wind, FAST, LP
STE	UCB	Wind, HESSI
Boom	UCB	FAST, LP, POLAR
SEPT	Keil / ESTEC	Helios, ISEE, Phobos, VEGA, Galileo, SOHO,
		Wind, Equator-S
SIT	UMd / GSFC	ACE, Wind, SAMPEX
HET	GSFC / Caltech	ACE, Wind
LET	Caltech / GSFC	ACE, Wind, Geotail
IDPU	UCB	HESSI, FAST, LP, Cluster, Wind, Polar

### **Instrument Requirements**

- Instrument Performance Requirements documented in IMPACTPerformanceSpec\_E.doc
- Instrument Interface & Resource Requirements documented in IMPACT/Spacecraft ICD
- Environmental test requirements documented in 7381-9003
- Contamination Control requirements documented in 7381-9040
- EMC requirements documented in 7381-9030
- Mission Assurance Requirements based on Project System Safety
  Mission Assurance document, and implemented in IMPACT PAIP
- Programatic requirements (deliverables, cost, schedule, etc.) covered in IMPACT contract

#### **MAG Performance Requirements**

Description	Goal	Requirement
Noise level	0.01 nT	0.01 nT
Absolute Accuracy	+/- 0.1 nT	+/- 0.1 nT
Range	+/-512 nT,	+/-512 nT,
	+/-65536 nT	+/-65536 nT
Drift	+/-0.2 nT/yr	+/-0.2 nT/yr
Time Resolution	1/4 sec.	1 sec.
	1/32 sec. (Burst)	

MAG addresses mission level 1 requirements:

- 01.01.0002, CME Speed
- 01.01.0003, CME Direction
- 01.01.0008, Magnetic Field Measurement

### **SWEA** Performance Requirements

Description	Goal	Requirement
FOV	360 x 130 degree	360 x 60 degrees
Resolution	22.5 degree	45 degrees
Energy	1 to 3000eV	20 to 1000eV
Energy Resolution (Telemetry)	65%	100%
Geometric Factor	$0.01 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ ster E(eV)}$	$0.001 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ ster E(eV)}$
Max Count Rate (per 22.5 degree sector)	1E6 counts/sec	1E5 counts/sec
Time Resolution	1 minute $(3D)$ to 2	1 minute
	seconds (moments,	
	burst)	

SWEA addresses mission level 1 requirements:

- 01.01.0002, CME Speed
- 01.01.0006, Solar Wind Temperature
- 01.01.0007, Solar Wind Velocity
- 01.01.0008, Solar Wind Magnetic Field
- 01.01.0009, Solar Wind Density

#### **STE Performance Requirements**

Description	Goal	Requirement
FOV	Two opposite 80 x 80	60 x 60 degree
	degree	
Resolution	80 x 20 degrees	60 x 20 degrees
Energy	2 - 100 keV	5 – 100 keV
Energy Resolution (Telemetry)	35%	100%
Energy Resolution (Electronic)	300eV FWHM	2keV
Geometric Factor	$0.4 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ ster}$	$0.1 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ ster}$
Background	<1c/s/detector	<30c/s/detector
Max Count Rate (per detector)	100,000 counts/sec	10,000 counts/sec
Time Resolution	16 seconds	1 minute
	2 seconds (burst)	

STE addresses mission level 1 requirements:

- 01.01.0005, CME Initiation Location
- 01.01.0006, Solar Wind Temperature
- 01.01.0008, Solar Wind Magnetic Field
- 01.01.0011, Energetic Particle Distribution Function

#### **SIT Performance Requirements**

Description	Goal	Requirement
FOV	17 x 44 degrees	17 x 44 degrees
Energy	30-2,000 keV/nuc He-Fe	30-2,000 keV/nuc He-Fe
Mass Resolution	0.85 AMU ( <sup>16</sup> O at 100keV/nuc)	0.85 AMU ( <sup>4</sup> He at 1MeV/Nuc)
<b>Energy Resolution</b>	20keV FWHM	35keV FWHM @ 22C
Geometric Factor	$0.4 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ ster}$	$0.4 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ ster}$
Background	$10^{-2}$ events/sec in quiet time	$10^{-2}$ events/sec during vac test
Max Event Rate	1000 events/sec	1000 events/sec
Time Resolution	1 Minute	15 Minutes

- 01.01.0003, CME Direction
- 01.01.0010, Location of Particle Acceleration
- 01.01.0011, Energetic Particle Distribution Function,

### **SEPT Performance Requirements**

Description	Goal	Requirement
FOV	2 sets of oppositely directed 52	2 sets for electrons and
	degree cones each for electrons	protons, each with: 2
	and protons	oppositely directed view
		cones in-ecliptic, 2 oppositely
		directed view cones off-
		ecliptic, 45 degree full
		opening angle
Energy	20-400 keV electrons,	30-400 keV, electrons
	20-7000 keV protons	30-2000 keV, protons
Energy Resolution	20% electrons,	30%, electrons
(Telemetry)	20% protons	30%, protons
Geometric Factor	$0.52 \text{ cm}^2$ ster, electrons,	$0.4 \text{ cm}^2$ ster, electrons,
	$0.68 \text{ cm}^2$ ster, protons	$0.4 \text{ cm}^2$ ster, protons
Background	< 0.2 counts/s on ground, 20°C	< 2 counts/s on ground, 20°C
Max Event Rate	25,000 counts/s at 2.2 MeV	25,000 counts/s at 2.2 MeV
	250,000 counts/s at 55 keV	250,000 counts/s at 55 keV
Time Resolution	60 sec	60 sec

- 01.01.0003, CME Direction
- 01.01.0010, Location of Particle Acceleration
- 01.01.0011, Energetic Particle Distribution Function,

Description	Goal	Requirement
FOV	2 oppositely directed 130 x 30	2 oppositely directed 100 x 30
	degree fans	degree fans
Energy Range	H: 1.4 - 6	H: 1.5 - 3
(MeV/nucleon)	He: 1.4 - 13	He: 1.5 - 13
	O: 2.5 – 25	O: 3 – 25
	Fe: 2.5 - 50	Fe: 3 - 25
Geometric Factor	H, He: 0.9	H, He: 0.5
cm <sup>2</sup> ster	5 <z<27: 4.5<="" td=""><td>5<z<27: 2<="" td=""></z<27:></td></z<27:>	5 <z<27: 2<="" td=""></z<27:>
Element Resolution	Also resolve Na, Al, S, Ar, Ca	Resolve H, He, C, N, O, Ne,
		Mg, Si, Fe
<sup>4</sup> He Mass Resolution	<0.25 AMU	<0.35 AMU
Max Event Rate	5000 events/sec	1000 events/sec
Energy Binning	8 intervals per species for Z>1	6 intervals per species for Z>1
	4 intervals for H	3 intervals for H
Species Binning	Add S, Ar, Ca	H, ${}^{3}$ He, ${}^{4}$ He, C, N, O, Ne, Mg,
		Si, Fe
Time Resolution	1 minute H, He, 15 minutes	15 minutes
	Z>5	
	4 prioritized events/sec	1 prioritized event/sec
Beacon Telemetry:	1 minute for H. He. 5 <z<27< td=""><td>1 minute for H. He. 5<z<27< td=""></z<27<></td></z<27<>	1 minute for H. He. 5 <z<27< td=""></z<27<>

### **LET Performance Requirements**

- 01.01.0003, CME Direction
- 01.01.0010, Location of Particle Acceleration
- 01.01.0011, Energetic Particle Distribution Function,

#### **HET Performance Requirements**

Description	Goal	Requirement
FOV (full angle)	58 degree cone	50 degree cone
Energy Range (MeV/nucleon)	e: 1 - 8	1 – 6
	H, He: 13 - 100	13 - 40
	$^{3}$ He: 16 – 50	16 - 40
	$\sim 30$ to 80 for 5 < Z < 27	$\sim 30$ to 80 for 5 < Z < 15
Geometric Factor, cm <sup>2</sup> ster	0.7	0.5
Element Resolution, dZ (rms),	< 0.3 for 16 < Z < 26	< 0.2 for 1 < Z < 15
for stopping particles		
<sup>4</sup> He Mass Resolution	<0.20 amu	<0.25 amu
Max Event Rate	5000 events/sec	1000 events/sec
Energy Binning	Eight intervals per species	Six intervals per species
Species Binning	Add 15 < Z < 27	H, <sup>3</sup> He, <sup>4</sup> He, 5 <z<15,< td=""></z<15,<>
		Electrons
Time Resolution	15 minutes	15 minutes
	1 prioritized events/sec	0.3 prioritized event/sec
Beacon Telemetry:	1 minute H, He, e	1 minute H, He, e

- 01.01.0003, CME Direction
- 01.01.0010, Location of Particle Acceleration
- 01.01.0011, Energetic Particle Distribution Function,

### **Intra-Instrument Interfaces**

- Interfaces to IDPU documented by:
  - Serial Instrument Interface document covers the common hardware
  - IDPU Flight Software Requirements covers the software
  - Harness Specification covers harnesses between instruments
  - Documents controlled by UCB
- Interfaces between SEP instruments covered:
  - SEP ICD covers electrical interface
  - SEP Software Development Plan covers software requirements
  - Documents controlled by Caltech
- Interface to PLASTIC covered by:
  - Serial Instrument Interface document, controlled by UCB
  - PLASTIC Flight Software Requirements, controlled by UNH

#### **Resource Allocations**

	Mass	Mass	Mass	Power	Power	Power	
Instrument	CBE, kg	NTE, kg	Margin, %	CBE, W	NTE, W	Margin, %	bps
SEP:							
	0.75			0.77			320
LEI Bracket	0.60						
HEI	0.66			0.35			120
SEP Common Elec.	1.92			0.53			
SEP LVPS	0.20			2.12			
SEP Main Total	4.13			3.77			440
SIT	1.23			1.27			240
SEP1-E	0.52			0.50			30
SEPT-NS	0.52			0.50			30
SEP-NS Bracket	0.27						
SEP Blankets	0.15						
SEP Grand Total	6.82	8.09	16%	6.05	7.40	18%	740
BOOM:							
SWEA:							
SWEA (CESR)	1.21			0.54			394
SWEA/STE I/F	0.30			0.30			
SWEA/STE LVPS	0.20			0.63			
SWEA Total	1.71			1.47			394
STE (STE-D)	0.35			0.10			64
SWEA Op Htr				0.50			
STE Op Htr				0.25			
MAG Sensor	0.25						192
Mag Op Htr				0.50			
SWEA/STE/MAG Blankets	0.10						
Sunward STE (STE-U)	0.35			0.10			
Boom Harness	0.83						
Boom	8.00						
Boom Totals	11.59	14.20	18%	2.32	3.50	34%	650
IDPU:							
Mag Card	0.30			0.38			
DIB Card (STE)	0.30			0.20			
DPU Card	0.30			0.80			
S/C Interface (on DPU ca	ard)			0.50			
IDPU LVPS	0.20			1.07			
Mag Heater Control	0.07						
BOX	0.07						
IDPU Total:	2.12	2.54	17%	3.55	4.30	18%	164
Burst Telemetry	4.04	4 47	4.00/				546
Harness (average of A&B)	1.24	1.47	16%				
TOTAL	21.76	26.30	17%	11.91	15.20	22%	2100

Other Resource Iss			
Actuator Firing Current	Туре	Current@28V	Time
SWEA Cover	TiNi P5-403	.75A	<100ms
SIT Cover	TiNi P5-403	.75A	<100ms
SEPT Covers	TiNi P5-403	.75A	<100ms
STE Cover	SMA	350mA	2s
BOOM Release	TiNi P5-405	.75A	<100ms
Survival Heaters			
Circuit	Location	Power	
IDPU/MAG	MAG	0.2W	TBR
SWEA/STE	SWEA/STE	1.3W	TBR
SEP/SEPT-NS/SEPT-E	SEP/SEPT-NS/SEPT-E	3.5W	TBR

Mass & Power Margin Requirements:

- 15% at PDR
- 10% at CDR

SWEA Operational Heater Requirement is TBR



### **IMPACT Harness Diagram**



Date:

Monday, July 30, 2001

D

**David Curtis** 

#### IDPU-J2 BOOM-J3 STE-2 BOOM IDPU S/C to IDPU 1553 IDPU-J7 STE-J1 Actuator Powe 긎 ACTUATOR S/C to IDPU 1553 Instrume Signal Ground HEATER Actuator Return 1553 Hamase Shield Actuator Hamese Shield MAG Boom Hamess Shield STE2 Harness Shield IDPU-J1 MAG HTR PS IDPU-J6 STE2 Chassis Gro BOOM-J5 S/C to IDPU 28V Survival HEATER 318 77S/C Chassis Ground MAG Homose Shield S/C to IDPU 28V Survival Return MAG Hamase Shield SWEA/STE IDPU-J5 BOOM-J4 LVPS SWEA/STE/IDPU Harness Shield SWEA/STE/IDPU Hamess Shiek S/C to IDPU 28V 318 BOOM-J1 IDPU-J3 PLASTIC/IDPU Harness Shield S/C to IDPU 28V Return S/C to SWEA/STE 28V Surviva HEATER Instrument Signal Ground Power Harness Shield S/C to SWEA/STE 28V Survival Return IDPU-J4 \_\_\_\_SEP/IDPU Hamess Shield LVPS S/C to SWEA/STE 28V IDPU Chassis Grou Signal Ground JJ S/C Chassis Ground S/C to SWEA/STE 28V Return Power Hamess S SWEA/STE Chassis Groun SEP-J4 J S/C Chassis Ground SEP/IDPU Hamess Shield SIT PLASTIC 47 SEP-J5 SIT-J1 Instrum Signal Ground 눈 PLASTIC/IDPU Hamess Shield Instrument Signal Ground HEATER Instrume Signal Ground S/C Chassis Ground SEP SEP-J3 Actuator Power SEP/SIT Harness Shield SIT Chassis Groun LVPS ACTUATOR S/C to PLASTIC 28V SEPT-E Actuator Return SEP-J8, J9 SEPTE-J1, J2 Actuator Harness Shiek S/C to PLASTIC 28V Return Ŷ SEP-J1 LVPS Power Harness Shield HEATER Instrum Signal S/C Chassis Ground PLASTIC Ch S/C to SEP 28V Ground S/C Chassis Ground SEP/SEPT-E Homese Shield SEPT-E Chassis Grou S/C to SEP 28V Return SEPT-NS SEP-J6,J7 SEPTNS-J1, J2 S/C to SEP 28V Survival ÷ 777 HEATER Instrum S/C Chassis Ground HEATER S/C to SEP 28V Survival Return Signal Ground STEREO IMPACT University of California Space Science Lab SEP/SEPT-NS Harness Shield SEP Choose Gr SEPT-NS Chassis Gr Prever Homoco Shiek Grounding Diagram SIC Chassis Ground Document Number IMPACT\_GROUNDING

### **IMPACT Grounding**

30

### **Configuration Control**

- Preliminary IMPACT Configuration Control Plan submitted
- Problem reporting & Failure Review process described in IMPACT PAIP
  - Project to appoint a voting member of the IMPACT Failure Review Board
- IMPACT will comply with Project-level CM Plan on Project-controlled documents (Class 1 changes)
  - IMPACT PM takes the lead role in interfacing with Project CM
- Suite-level CM controlled by PM
  - Resources, Interfaces, Plans
  - Controlled documents maintained and controlled via a web site
  - PM controls changes at this level, as well as waiver/deviation process
- Subsystem-level CM controlled by instrument lead engineers
  - Schematics, As-built drawings, Travelers, Flight Software
  - Subsystems come under Suite-level CM when delivered to integration

#### **Performance Assurance**

- IMPACT Performance Assurance Plan submitted to Project
  - Plan responds to the Project Mission Assurance Requirements Document
  - Plan covers all IMPACT Flight hardware/software and critical GSE development at all IMPACT institutions developing flight equipment
  - Mostly approved, pending an issue with Foreign Col plan
  - Plan uses existing in-house procedures and practices (mostly based on NHB rather than ISO-9000)

### **Contamination Control Plan**

- IMPACT PAIP includes a section on Contamination Control adequate for IMPACT requirements
  - Primarily a set of procedures with a proven track record
  - PAIP Includes standard materials outgassing requirements
- Project Contamination Control Plan has additional requirements
  - Vacuum Bakeout
  - Surface Cleanliness
  - These requirements will be addressed mostly late in the I&T flow, with more relaxed requirements early, followed by cleaning and bakeout

#### • IMPACT has Contamination Requirements at the Observatory Level

- All instruments are purged and have non-flight covers to protect sensitive detectors (some have in-flight deployable covers)
- There is a concern about humidity and strong solvents, mostly taken care of by the purge, but some solvents will be forbidden near the instruments (to be addressed in contamination control plan)

### **Top 10 Risks**

No.	Risk Item	Score	Mitigation	Mitigation Schedule						
				PDR	Bread- board Test	CDR	Sub- system Test	System Test	Env test	Early Orbit Test
UCB_1	ITAR restriction of information exchange with foreign Cols may result in problems not discovered until late in the program	MEDIUM	Various channels of communication have been found within the ITAR restrictions to allow adequate information flow. Some exchanges are still forbidden and may cause a problem.	MEDIUM	MEDIUM	MEDIUM	MEDIUM	MEDIUM	MEDIUM	LOW
UCB_2	Increasing documentation requirements distract key personnel from design tasks	MEDIUM	Negotiate documentation requirements to minimize impact		MEDIUM	MEDIUM	MEDIUM	MEDIUM	MEDIUM	LOW
UCB_4	The IDPU is a single point failure mechanisim for the IMPACT suite and PLASTIC	HIGH	IDPU is a simple, reliable system. Extra attention will be paid to ensuring its reliability	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH
UCB_5	IMPACT boom is a new design. Failure could affect Imager pointing requirements as well as boom-mounted instruments.	HIGH	Design for reliability. Early development and test to ensure reliability.	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH
UCB_10	Complex Interlocking IMPACT schedule increases risk of late delivery to spacecraft	MEDIUM	A milestone schedule of deliveries has been set up to minimize schedule interaction and give power to control schedule to institutions while maintaining top level schedule slack	MEDIUM	MEDIUM	MEDIUM	MEDIUM	MEDIUM	MEDIUM	LOW
UCB_15	GSFC Approval Requirements could delay instrument delivery or add cost	MEDIUM	Difficult to asses, history is mixed		MEDIUM	MEDIUM	MEDIUM	MEDIUM	MEDIUM	LOW
UCB_16	STE Detectors are a new technology	MEDIUM	Early testing to prove design	MEDIUM	MEDIUM	LOW	LOW	LOW	LOW	LOW
UCB_18	LET Detectors from a new manufacturer		Working with manufacturer on process		MEDIUM	LOW	LOW	LOW	LOW	LOW
UCB_21	Custom VLSI used in SEP may has schedule and cost risk	MEDIUM	Early development to prove design		MEDIUM	LOW	LOW	LOW	LOW	LOW
UCB_23	Non-standard parts qualification failure could impact delivery schedule		Early parts selection and screening		MEDIUM	LOW	LOW	LOW	LOW	LOW

- Identified HIGH risk items have LOW probability of occurrence but HIGH Impact
- 13 Additional Moderate and Low-score risks identified, not listed here
- These risks are entered in the Project Risk Management Database
- IMPACT Management team have taken the GSFC Risk Management course

#### **Trade Studies**

No.	Trade Study Title	Description	Status		Decision Schedule				Result		
				PDR	CDR	Bread-	Sub-	System	Env	Early	
						Test	system Test	lest	test	Test	
		Select a toplogy that meets the EMI									
		requirments and mass/volume constraints									
I-1	LVPS Topology	with maximum efficiency and reliability	CLOSED	X		x					Topology selected
											First design failed to meet
		Select a TOF design that meets the timing									requirement. Existing WIND
		requirements with acceptable power and									design exported with Project
I-2	SIT TOF Design	avoiding ITAR problems	CLOSED	Х		X					help
											Configuration selected in
											coordination with APL and
I-3	SEP Configuration	Minimize FOV incursions, mass, complexity	CLOSED	X							Project
I-4	SEP processor selection	Trade capability, power, software logistics	CLOSED	X							MISC Selected
											Sizes selected. Segmented
											detectors used in some
I-5	SEP detector size	Trade sensitivity vs saturation in a large event	CLOSED	X							cases
		Simplify ranging system, improve transient									
I-6	MAG ADC selection	response vs new ADC	CLOSED	Х							Select 2-range system
											Have two candidates.
I-7	STE detector FETs, preamp	minimize noise vs availability, reliability	OPEN		Х	X					Testing in progress
I-8	Split STE	Trade cost, resources, complexity vs FOV	CLOSED	X							STE is split
		Trade reliability, screening costs vs									Where non-standard parts
		performance, power (Mostly in analog front									selected, pending results of
1-9	Parts selection	end, LVPS circuits)	OPEN		Х	X					screening
											After STE split, select shorter
											boom, incur some SWEA
I-10	Boom length	Trade stability/reliability vs SWEA/STE FOV	CLOSED	Х							FOV blockage
											Reclosable door selected for
		Concern about contamination and									STE, 1-time doors selected
I-11	Detector Doors (STE, SEPT)	overheating from sunlight exposure	CLOSED	Х							for SEPT
											Alternatives presented to
		HET (along with some LET detectors) were to									Project (since additional
		be provided by Waseda University, which did									funding required). Low cost
I-12	HET Replacement	not get funded. Study alternatives.	CLOSED	X							HET selected.
		Select a boom that meets the requirements									Project selected UCB
I-13	Boom Trades	with minimum resources (especially \$)	CLOSED	Х							Telescoping boom concept
I-14	SWEA/STE LVPS Size	Select geometery for UCB part of SWEA/STE	OPEN		X						Pending rough layout of LVPS

#### **Peer Reviews**

- IMPACT supported a series of peer reviews, attended by Projectappointed reviewers
  - SWEA / STE / Boom at UCB, March 6 2001
    - 17 Actions, 5 still open
  - SEP / MAG at GSFC, April 19 2001
    - 26 Actions, 14 still open
  - IDPU at UCB, August 1 2001
    - 4 Actions, all closed
  - System / Cleanup at UCB, August 2 2001
    - 17 Actions, 1 closed
    - This list is very recent; more are "closeable" as of PDR.
- Status of open action items from the instrument reviews shall be discussed in the related sections below

### **System Peer Review Action Item Status**

#	DB#	REGARDING	REVIEWER WRITE-UP	CLASSIFICATION/ STATUS	DISPOSITION
2		IMPACT	IMPACT needs to provide input to the IMPACT Reliability Analysis with respect to the IMPACT science requirements needed to meet the mission success criteria.	Action Item /Open	Preliminary inputs provided as indicated in the Reliability section of the PDR. We expect more detailed discussions to follow
3		IMPACT	The EMI closeout of the IMPACT connectors and harness needs to be decided. Currently, IMPACT plans to use Micro D connectors on the boom boxes. Weight also needs to be considered in designing the closeouts. The Instrument EMI Peer Review is the appropriate place for this topic to be discussed.	Action Item /Open	Connector EMI closeout was discussed at the EMI peer review August 30/31. The gasket requirement is under review (should be closed by Spacecraft PDR). If it is determined that gaskets are not required, IMPACT plans to sue a taped closeout rather than a backshell for intra-instrument harnesses (TBR)
4		IMPACT	The current electrical design of the IMPACT suite includes ground loops with SIT, SEPT-NS, and SEPT- E. The EMI committee needs to decide to accept or reject this design. This topic is appropriate for the Instrument EMI Peer Review.	Action Item /Open	Grounding was discussed at the EMI peer review August 30/31. Looking into the possibility of an AC rather than DC connection between signal and chassis ground in SEPT to remove DC currents in spacecraft structure. Once the plan is firm a waiver will be submitted
5		IMPACT	Some notional mounting scheme needs to be detailed on the mechanical drawings of the SEP package, SIT, and the SEPTs.	Action Item /Open	See SEP PDR Presentation
6		IMPACT	The current SEP Survival Upper Temperature Limit is +30C. Action is to verify that this number is an actual "hard" requirement.	Action Item /Open	This number is correct. Known failures of detectors used in SIT above 30C. Some relief is possible on other SEP survival limits (+40C).
7		IMPACT	The IMPACT Risks need to be re-evaluated (i.e. Criticality, Probability of Occurrence). Action is to re- evaluate the risks and include a risk matrix in the IMPACT PDR presentation.	Action Item /Open	Risks have been updated (but Project database has not, pending access problems)
8		IMPACT	The trade studies presented should include a status and decision or decision timeframe. Action is to prepare a trade study matrix and present at IMPACT PDR.	Action Item /Open	Done.
9		IMPACT	The swing volume (a 2-D view for each plane) of any deployable covers should be detailed on the mechanical drawings of all applicable IMPACT components.	Action Item /Open	In work. To be complete by Spacecraft PDR (part of ICD)
10		IMPACT	The thermal testing of the IMPACT booms should be performed at the boom deployment temperatures if possible.	Action Item /Open	See Boom presentation

#### **System Peer Review Action Item Status**

#	DB#	REGARDING	REVIEWER WRITE-UP	CLASSIFICATION/ STATUS	DISPOSITION
11		ІМРАСТ	Determine who will determine/verify thermal models.	Action Item /Open	This relates to designing the Thermal Balance tests. For the boom, this is a UCB issue. It is still unclear for the SEP boxes. This needs to be worked with APL before CDR,
12		IMPACT	Ensure there are no patent problems using the MISC design.	Action Item /Open	None. Based on Public Domain design.
13		IMPACT	Identification of the critical path in the schedule.	Action Item /Open	Done (in PDR presentation)
14		IMPACT	Determine how many hours will the life test will be.	Action Item /Open	Current plan is 100 hours minimum trouble free hours at time of delivery to spacecraft.
15		IMPACT	Capture a bakeout scheme.	Action Item /Open	Box-level bakeout scheme captured by I&T plan (in PDR presentation). Subassembly bakeout scheme for thermally sensitive instruments is still in work.
17		IMPACT	Determine what grade of parts SWEA will be using and verify that it is acceptable to the Project via the PAIP.	Action Item /Open	CESR part of SWEA uses Grade 3 parts, as described in the PAIP and delivered parts list. These are in review by Project.
18		IMPACT	Have the contamination control personnel verify that the composite boom material is usable.	Action Item /Open	See Boom Presentation

# IMPACT Reliability Analyses as of PDR

David Bogart SRS IS

# **IMPACT STEREO Reliability**

- A Comprehensive PRA approach is planned, including Event Trees, Fault Trees, RBDs and Predictions
  - RBDs and Fault Trees are highly dependent on defining essential elements of the science
  - Science mission is considered in 2 parts, (1) the first 150 days of science, and (2) after 150 days through 2 years of science
  - Iterative approach involves Systems Engineer, Instrument
    Managers, and Lead Designers to have greatest impact on design
  - Deterministic Models are used until increasing complexity dictates use of Monte Carlo simulations
- Probability data is derived from MIL-HDBK-217 and Manufacturer's data, combined with On-orbit experience.

# **STEREO Reliability Methodology**



# **Reliability Block Diagram for the First 150 Days of Prime Science**


# Reliability Block Diagram for In-Situ Instruments



\* Signifies repeated block, i.e., if it fails, it fails everywhere it appears.



# Preliminary Design Review Presentation M. H. Acuña, GSFC

# STEREO IMPACT

### **MAG Science**

- Identify ICMEs at one or two of the STEREO sites, providing information on their global scale and uniformity, including their associated interplanetary shocks (strength, surface orientations), compressed solar wind sheaths, and internal field configurations (e.g. flux rope or other, flux rope orientation, size, and handedness, and ejecta flux content)
  - for relating to the solar observations and determining potential geoeffectiveness
- Define ambient solar wind conditions at the two spacecraft, including stream ۲ structures and interfaces, and heliospheric current sheet crossings
  - for relating to solar observations, and determining potential geoeffects from high speed streams and stream interfaces alone, as well as the effects of the ICME on ambient conditions, and ambient conditions on the ICME
- Organize local particle (solar wind and SEP) distribution functions including IMPACT/SWEA, STE, and SEP electrons, and PLASTIC and IMPACT/SEP ions.
  - SWEA heat flux electrons for inferring field topology and connections to the Sun, STE electrons for inferring field connections to active regions, PLASTIC solar wind and ICME ions for deducing plasma ion sources, and SEPs from SIT, SEPT, LET, HET for deducing SEP origin, acceleration, and propagation processes
- Determine properties of low frequency waves in the interplanetary medium
  - for characterizing waves at ICME shocks that play a role in SEP acceleration and propagation, and large Alfven wavetrains that sometimes accompany ICMEs and produce geoeffects of their own
- Provide a measure of one of the fundamental heliospheric MHD parameters
  - for comparisons with 3-D models of shocks and other solar wind and ICME features that will be used to connect the SECCHI observations to the STEREO in-situ observations Janet Luhmann

# **STEREO IMPACT**

IMPACT Science Summary

Experiment	Instrument	Measurement	Energy or Mag. field range	Time Res.	Beacon Time Res. (*)	Instrument provider
SW	STE	Electron flux and	2-100 keV	16 s	2D x 3E, 60s	UCB (Lin)
	SWEA	3D electron distrib., core & halo density, temp & anisotropy	~0-3 keV	3D=1 min 2D=8s Mom =2s	Moments, 60s	CESR (Sauvaud) + UCB (Lin)
MAG	MAG	Vector field	±500nT, ±65536 nT	1/4 s	60s	GSFC (Acuna)
SEP	SIT	He to Fe ions <sup>3</sup> He	0.03-2 MeV/nuc 0.15-0.25 MeV/nuc	30 s 30 s	3S x 2E, 60s	U. of Md. (Mason) + MPAE (Korth) + GSFC (von Rosenvinge)
	SEPT	Diff. electron flux Diff. proton flux Anistropies of e,p	20-400 keV 20-7000 keV As above	1 min 1 min 15 min	3E, 60s 3E, 60s	U. of Kiel (Mueller- Mellin) + ESTEC (Sanderson)
	LET	Ion mass 2-28 & anisotropy <sup>3</sup> He ions flux & anistropy	1.5-40 MeV/nuc 1.5-1.6 MeV/nuc	1-15 min. 15 min.	2S x 2E, 60s 1E, 60s	Caltech (Mewaldt) + GSFC (von Rosenvinge) + JPL (Wiedenbeck)
		H ions flux & anistropy	1.5-3.5 MeV	1-15 min.	1E, 60s	
	HET	Electrons flux H He <sup>3</sup> He	1-8 MeV 13-100 MeV 13-100 MeV 15-60 MeV/nuc	1-15 min. 1-15 min. 1-15 min. 15 min	1E, 60s 1E, 60s 1E, 60s 	GSFC (von Rosenvinge) + Caltech (Mewaldt) + JPL (Wiedenbeck)
	SEP Common					Caltech (Mewaldt) + GSFC (von Rosenvinge)
IMPACT Common	IDPU (+Mag Analog)					UCB (Curtis)

### **Science Summary**

(\*) E=Energies, S=Species, D=directions



# **MAG Technical Summary**

**Instrument Characteristics:** 

- Dual-range, <u>single</u> triaxial fluxgate magnetometer
- Wide dynamic range: ± 0.01 nT to ± 65,536 nT in two dynamic ranges: ± 512 nT and ± 65,536 nT
- Allows for testing in the Earth's field without special equipment significant simplification and cost savings
- Instrument heritage spans > 30 years and > 40 instruments including VOYAGER, WIND, NEAR, ACE, DMSP, LP and MGS
- Fluxgate sensor & electronics manufactured and tested at GSFC and integrated into IMPACT IDPU and Boom
- Foldback current limiting isolators to protect IDPU power supply in case of failures
- MAG software already developed by UCB for MGS and LP

### **MAG Performance Requirements**

Description	Goal	Requirement
Noise level	0.01 nT	0.01 nT
Absolute Accuracy	+/- 0.1 nT	+/- 0.1 nT
Range	+/-512 nT,	+/-512 nT,
	+/-65536 nT	+/-65536 nT
Drift	+/-0.2 nT/yr	+/-0.2 nT/yr
Time Resolution	1/4 sec.	1 sec.
	1/32 sec. (Burst)	

MAG addresses mission level 1 requirement 01.01.0008, Magnetic Field Measurement, which in turn is related to Science Objective 4, Improved determination of the structure of the ambient solar wind.

# **STEREO IMPACT**



### **Boom Resources**

las dan una sed	Mass	Mass	Mass	Power	Power	Power	<b>b</b>
Instrument	СВЕ, КД	NIE, KG	Margin, %	CBE, W	NIE, W	Margin, %	ops
BOOM:							
SWEA Total	1.71			1.47			394
STE (STE-D)	0.35			0.10			64
SWEA Op Htr				0.50			
STE Op Htr				0.25			
MAG Sensor	0.25						192
Mag Op Htr				0.50			
SWEA/STE/MAG Blankets	0.10						
Sunward STE (STE-U)	0.35			0.10			
Boom Harness	0.83						
Boom	8.00						
Boom Totals	11.59	14.20	18%	2.32	3.50	34%	650

# Preliminary Design Review 2001 SEPT 11,12



# **STEREO IMPACT**

# **Boom Suite (Stowed)**



**David Curtis** 





David Curtis

# **STEREO IMPACT**

### **Resource Allocations**

	Mass	Mass	Mass	Power	Power	Power	
Instrument	CBE, kg	NTE, kg	Margin, %	CBE, W	NTE, W	Margin, %	bps
SEP:							
LET	0.75			0.77			320
LET Bracket	0.60						
HET	0.66			0.35			120
SEP Common Elec.	1.92			0.53			
SEP LVPS	0.20			2.12			
SEP Main Total	4.13			3.77			440
SIT	1.23			1.27			240
SEPT-E	0.52			0.50			30
SEPT-NS	0.52			0.50			30
SEP-NS Bracket	0.27						
SEP Blankets	0.15						
SEP Grand Total	6.82	8.09	16%	6.05	7.40	18%	740
BOOM:							
SWEA:				0.54			
SWEA (CESR)	1.21			0.54			394
SWEA/STE I/F	0.30			0.30			
SWEA/STELVPS	0.20			0.63			00.4
SVVEA TOTAL	1.71			1.47			394
STE (STE-D)	0.35			0.10			64
SWEA Op Htr				0.50			
STE Op Htr				0.25			
MAG Sensor	0.25						192
Mag Op Htr				0.50			
SWEA/STE/MAG Blankets	0.10						
Sunward STE (STE-U)	0.35			0.10			
Boom Harness	0.83						
Boom	8.00						
Boom Totals	11.59	14.20	18%	2.32	3.50	34%	650
IDPU:	0.00			0.00			
	0.30			0.38			
DID Card (STE)	0.30			0.20			
S/C Interface (on DDI I at	0.30			0.80			
	aiu) 0.00			0.50			
Mag Hostor Control	0.20			1.07			
	0.07						
IDPU Total:	2.12	2.54	17%	3.55	4.30	18%	164
Burst Telemetry							546
Harness (average of A&B)	1.24	1.47	16%				
TOTAL	21.76	26.30	17%	11.91	15.20	22%	2100

Other Resource Iss			
Actuator Firing Current	Туре	Current@28V	Time
SWEA Cover	TiNi P5-403	.75A	<100ms
SIT Cover	TiNi P5-403	.75A	<100ms
SEPT Covers	TiNi P5-403	.75A	<100ms
STE Cover	SMA	350mA	2s
BOOM Release	TiNi P5-405	.75A	<100ms
Survival Heaters			
Circuit	Location	Power	
IDPU/MAG	MAG	0.2W	TBR
SWEA/STE	SWEA/STE	1.3W	TBR
SEP/SEPT-NS/SEPT-E	SEP/SEPT-NS/SEPT-E	3.5W	TBR

Mass & Power Margin Requirements:

- 15% at PDR
- 10% at CDR

SWEA Operational Heater Requirement is TBR



### **MAG Sensor Alignment**

- IMPACT/MAG has a modest alignment requirement:
  - ±1° alignment
  - ±0.5° knowledge
  - Should be obtainable by mounting tolerances
  - MAG sensor axes should be aligned parallel to the S/C maneuver (principal) axes.
  - In-flight roll calibrations will be used to determine final alignment

# **Magnetics**

- Magnetic Goals, as measured at the MAG sensor:
  - ±0.03nT Dynamic
  - ±1nT Static
- Magnetics Control Plan to be implemented using GSFC instrumentation and support. Objective: minimize dynamic fields from S/C and instruments
- Use of magnetic materials avoided/controlled
- Current loops need to be eliminated/compensated
- Items close to MAG sensor of particular concern (since field falls off at least as 1/R<sup>3</sup>)
- MAG team working closely with APL to help meet these requirements at minimum cost
  - Magnetics Control Seminars at APL
  - Screening of spacecraft components
  - System level magnetic tests during I&T to verify performance
- Mission Requirements: (a) Periodic (monthly) Spacecraft rolls, (b) MAG ON during boom deployment to calibrate spacecraft generated DC magnetic fields

# **Magnetics (2)**

- Power system and solar array are primary targets of magnetic reduction effort followed by reaction wheels and propulsion system components.
- Shared magnetics data base and tests with MESSENGER
- Successful prior experience with many missions: WIND, POLAR, GEOTAIL, ACE, Mars Global Surveyor, etc.
- Minimal cost and schedule impact assured by early detection/solution

# GSE

- Electrical GSE includes:
  - Spacecraft-provided spacecraft interface simulators
  - UCB provided Telemetry/Command GSE (also used at spacecraft-level I&T and mission ops)
  - Electrical GSE are typically PC-based systems with commercial or custom interface cards to provide interface signal simulation
- MAG team will provide magnetic screening GSE and I&T support instrumentation

# Instrument Handling

 Magnetized materials or tools near MAG sensor prohibited at all times. Demagnetize and Screen tools through QA function during I&T



# **On-Orbit MAG Commissioning Phase**

- Would like IMPACT/MAG powered on and the IMPACT boom deployed as soon as possible after launch. This will provide valuable data for modeling of the spacecraft field, and can also provide deployment engineering confirmation/diagnostics
- Perform calibration roll maneuvers 30+ days after launch

# Rolls

- Slow spacecraft rolls about the sun-line are required to calibrate the MAG DC offsets during the commissioning phase
- Rolls are also desirable for the other IMPACT instruments, to separate spatial effects from spacecraft effects in the measured particle distributions
- Rolls should occur in a low field region such as the solar wind
- Several rolls are desirable in case the ambient magnetic field is active during the measurement
- Rolls later in the mission, every six months, will measure the drift in the spacecraft DC fields and MAG sensor offsets

# **STEREO IMPACT**

### **Trade Studies**

No.	Trade Study Title	Description	Status		Decision Schedule			Result			
				PDR	CDR	Bread-	Sub-	System	Env	Early	
						Test	system Test	lest	test	Test	
		Select a toplogy that meets the EMI									
		requirments and mass/volume constraints									
I-1	LVPS Topology	with maximum efficiency and reliability	CLOSED	X		x					Topology selected
											First design failed to meet
		Select a TOF design that meets the timing									requirement. Existing WIND
		requirements with acceptable power and									design exported with Project
I-2	SIT TOF Design	avoiding ITAR problems	CLOSED	Х		X					help
											Configuration selected in
											coordination with APL and
I-3	SEP Configuration	Minimize FOV incursions, mass, complexity	CLOSED	X							Project
I-4	SEP processor selection	Trade capability, power, software logistics	CLOSED	X							MISC Selected
											Sizes selected. Segmented
											detectors used in some
I-5	SEP detector size	Trade sensitivity vs saturation in a large event	CLOSED	X							cases
		Simplify ranging system, improve transient									
I-6	MAG ADC selection	response vs new ADC	CLOSED	Х							Select 2-range system
											Have two candidates.
I-7	STE detector FETs, preamp	minimize noise vs availability, reliability	OPEN		Х	X					Testing in progress
I-8	Split STE	Trade cost, resources, complexity vs FOV	CLOSED	Х							STE is split
		Trade reliability, screening costs vs									Where non-standard parts
		performance, power (Mostly in analog front									selected, pending results of
I-9	Parts selection	end, LVPS circuits)	OPEN		Х	X					screening
											After STE split, select shorter
											boom, incur some SWEA
I-10	Boom length	Trade stability/reliability vs SWEA/STE FOV	CLOSED	Х							FOV blockage
											Reclosable door selected for
		Concern about contamination and									STE, 1-time doors selected
I-11	Detector Doors (STE, SEPT)	overheating from sunlight exposure	CLOSED	Х							for SEPT
											Alternatives presented to
		HET (along with some LET detectors) were to									Project (since additional
		be provided by Waseda University, which did									funding required). Low cost
I-12	HET Replacement	not get funded. Study alternatives.	CLOSED	X							HET selected.
		Select a boom that meets the requirements									Project selected UCB
I-13	Boom Trades	with minimum resources (especially \$)	CLOSED	Х							Telescoping boom concept
I-14	SWEA/STE LVPS Size	Select geometery for UCB part of SWEA/STE	OPEN		X						Pending rough layout of LVPS



# **IMPACT/MAG Current Status**

- Baseline design has been optimized for surface mount components to miniaturize instrument electronics. Parts selection strategy developed
- Miniature test coaxial cables provided by UCB and tested successfully on fully functional breadboard
- 16-20 bit sigma-delta low power A/D converter(s) selected for implementation. Identical to NEAR, MESSENGER
- Preliminary Interfaces to IDPU and Boom defined
- Preliminary assessment of SWEA/STE/cable magnetics and grounding architecture under way. No major problems anticipated



BERKELEY · DAVIS · IRVINE · LOS ANGELES · RIVERSIDE · SAN DIEGO · SAN FRANCISCO



SANTA BARBARA • SANTA CRUZ

Stereo Impact Boom

Preliminary Design Review Johns Hopkins University The Applied Physics Lab

# Table of Contents

Flow down science requirements for the Impact Boom	2
Spacecraft Requirements	2
System Requirements	3
Development Plan	5
Test Sequence	6
Mechanical GSE	6
Design Trades made	7
Current Design Status	8
Thermal	24
Peer Review Status	25
Remaining Issues	27
Summary	27



BERKELEY · DAVIS · IRVINE · LOS ANGELES · RIVERSIDE · SAN DIEGO · SAN FRANCISCO



SANTA BARBARA • SANTA CRUZ

# Stereo Impact Boom

### Flow down science requirements for the Impact Boom

- 1) Magnetometer >= 3m from S/C
- 2) Magnetometer >= 1m from other instruments
- 3) SWEA  $130^{\circ}$  X  $360^{\circ}$  FOV, clear of S/C.
- 4) STE
  - a. 60° X 60° FOV (80° X 80° goal) clear along Parker Spiral both inward and outward looking.
  - b. Operational temperature:  $-20^{\circ}$ C to  $-40^{\circ}$ C (TBC).
  - c. No exposure to Sun, thruster plumes.

### Spacecraft Requirements

- 1) Stowed length =< separation plane to separation plane: 61", 1549mm.
- 2) Boom doesn't interfere with HGA: height overall including blankets =< 12", 305mm.
- 3) Stiffness > 0.5Hz (TBC) Goal; 0.3Hz Requirement (from Astromast Spec)



BERKELEY · DAVIS · IRVINE · LOS ANGELES · RIVERSIDE · SAN DIEGO · SAN FRANCISCO



SANTA BARBARA • SANTA CRUZ

# Stereo Impact Boom

### System Requirements

- 1) Materials, Methods and Processes: first revision complete.
- 2) EMC / EMI
  - a. Per Program Specification, in accordance with APL Document No.7831-9030
  - b. Boom surface statically dissipative:  $< 10^8$  ohms / sq.
  - c. Harness double shielded:
    - i. Outer shield: Stacer + braid (in final segment of boom).
    - ii. Inner shield: aluminized kapton (TBC)
  - d. Harness specified in Impact Harness Document.
- 3) Cleanliness
  - a. Fabrication: Class 100,000.
  - b. Integration: Class 10,000 (TBC).
- 4) Risk assessment: Item; mitigation.
  - a. Tube jam; Ensure clearances, material compatibilities, verify by testing.
  - b. Incomplete tube travel; Design Stacer for 5X needed force, 'kick' spring deployment initiator, low friction rollers.
  - c. Pin(s) not locked; Ensure clearances, material compatibilities, redundant pin / socket pairs, verify by testing.
  - d. Cryogenic temperature issues; Perform LN<sub>2</sub> dunk of glue joint (done) design is valid, include mechanical fasteners as redundant retainers for critical glue joints.



BERKELEY · DAVIS · IRVINE · LOS ANGELES · RIVERSIDE · SAN DIEGO · SAN FRANCISCO



SANTA BARBARA • SANTA CRUZ

# Stereo Impact Boom

- e. Loss of rigidity due to incomplete tube travel; Restoring / centering moment given by offset between pairs of rings: worst case pins at sockets, not engaged, restoring moment, at any pin / socket location, is 0.04 kg-m; for a zero-extension situation, ie. release operates but Stacer does not deploy, the restoring moment is 4.95 kg-m.
- 5) Product Implementation and Assurance Plan
  - a. Per Impact PAIP.
  - b. No EEE parts.
- 6) Long lead items:
  - a. Stacer: ~26 weeks.
  - b. Gr/E tubes: 15 20 weeks.
  - c. SMA releases mechanisms: 8 weeks.





BERKELEY · DAVIS · IRVINE · LOS ANGELES · RIVERSIDE · SAN DIEGO · SAN FRANCISCO



SANTA BARBARA · SANTA CRUZ

# Stereo Impact Boom

### **Development Plan**





BERKELEY · DAVIS · IRVINE · LOS ANGELES · RIVERSIDE · SAN DIEGO · SAN FRANCISCO



SANTA BARBARA · SANTA CRUZ

# Stereo Impact Boom

### Test Sequence

- 1) EM Qualification (per GEVS-SE Rev. A; APL# 7381-9003; TBC)
  - a. Proof Load: 1.25 times expected load. (Design Goal: 100 X)
  - b. Vibration: 14.1 G<sub>rms</sub> ; facility: GSFC or Wylie Lab (TBC).
  - c. Thermal Vacuum / balance: 4 cycles @  $+50^{\circ}$ C /  $-40^{\circ}$ C (TBC) in <  $10^{-5}$  Torr vacuum; facility: UCB (TBC).
  - d. Deployment + boom characterization: length, target accuracy, mass properties @ UCB.
- 2) FM1 & FM2 Acceptance (per GEVS-SE Rev. A; APL# 7381-9003; TBC)
  - a. Proof Load: 1.25 times expected load.
  - b. Vibration:  $10.0 G_{rms}$
  - c. Thermal Vacuum: 4 cycles @  $+40^{\circ}$ C /  $-40^{\circ}$ C (TBC) in <  $10^{-5}$  Torr vacuum
  - d. Deployment + boom characterization: length, target accuracy, mass properties @ UCB, EMI (TBD).

### Mechanical GSE

- 1) Tube / ring bond assembly fixtures
- 2) Deployment offload fixture(s) for:
  - a. EMC characterization
  - b. Thermal vacuum
  - c. First motion at S/C integration (TBC)
- 3) Stowing fixture(s) and tools.
- 4) Shipping containers



BERKELEY · DAVIS · IRVINE · LOS ANGELES · RIVERSIDE · SAN DIEGO · SAN FRANCISCO



SANTA BARBARA · SANTA CRUZ

# Stereo Impact Boom

# <u>Design Trades made</u>

- 1) Selection of current configuration:
  - a. Longeron style: Cost > \$1M., exceeds budget; cost over-runs, late deliveries not uncommon.
  - b. Swing-arms: Can not meet Magnetometer basic 3m distance requirement without 3 or more pivot joints / arms. Stowing, sequence and reliability of deployment questionable.
  - c. Telescoping: New for this application, meets science and budget requirements, combines many heritage technologies.
  - d. Stacer only: possible alternative, would require active pointing means with feedback; not as rigid as telescope style.
- 2) Length: finalized (in wet concrete) for the current spacecraft baseline.
- 3) Prelim. instrument interfaces: finalized (also in wet concrete) for the current spacecraft baseline.
- 4) FOV's
  - a. STE: split into 2 separate locations: STE-U (up, towards sun) and STE-D (down, away from sun); 80° X 80° FOV unobstructed. A reduction of the STE-U maybe needed for sun avoidance (TBC).
  - b. SWEA: 130<sup>0</sup> by 2<sup>p</sup> radians, impingements by solar arrays, corner of lead S/C. New S/C baseline would require a boom >10m long to avoid these impingements. Current design with impingements is accepted by Co-I's.
- 5) Lock pin design: includes roller in tip to lower friction, reducing needed force for deployment, lower particle generation.
- 6) Retraction pin serves provides roller alignment during deployment. Removes multiple guide tracks down length of tube, requires only 1 set per segment, relieving tight tolerance requirements.



BERKELEY · DAVIS · IRVINE · LOS ANGELES · RIVERSIDE · SAN DIEGO · SAN FRANCISCO



SANTA BARBARA • SANTA CRUZ

# Stereo Impact Boom

### Current Design Status







SANTA BARBARA • SANTA CRUZ

# Stereo Impact Boom



Impact boom deployed, with spacecraft coordinate system

Illustration courtesy JHU-APL.



BERKELEY · DAVIS · IRVINE · LOS ANGELES · RIVERSIDE · SAN DIEGO · SAN FRANCISCO



SANTA BARBARA • SANTA CRUZ

# Stereo Impact Boom

### Stowed configuration





BERKELEY • DAVIS • IRVINE • LOS ANGELES • RIVERSIDE • SAN DIEGO • SAN FRANCISCO

Stereo Impact Boom



SANTA BARBARA • SANTA CRUZ

#### - X + Z 62 Magnetometer [2.4"] SWEA 3195 1065 1260 [41.9"] [125.8"] [49.6"] T STE-U STE-D

TBD



Deployed configuration

91 [3.6"]

PDR 11 & 12 September 2001



BERKELEY · DAVIS · IRVINE · LOS ANGELES · RIVERSIDE · SAN DIEGO · SAN FRANCISCO



SANTA BARBARA · SANTA CRUZ

# Stereo Impact Boom

#### **Boom Assembly Overview**

Top view: stowed position, no instrument brackets shown. Rollers rest on tube surfaces. Lock pins are blue, rollers magenta, Gr/E tubes are thin white rings between green and orange rings. For deployment, pins roll along tubes until end of travel, then extend 8mm radially to mate with sockets, both have an included cone angle of  $10^{\circ}$  to provide a 'self-locking' interface. An offset of 1.0mm of 3 of the 6 sockets takes up the play from clearances between the pins and sockets, providing a kinematic mounting. The rings shown are schematic in the sense that the webs, cutouts, covers, and fillets Harness Stacer that eventually appear in the final design have not been added. Only the minimum structure necessary to hold the pins in place is included. Manufacturability issues are held as a background consideration, to be folded into the final designs.



BERKELEY • DAVIS • IRVINE • LOS ANGELES • RIVERSIDE • SAN DIEGO • SAN FRANCISCO



SANTA BARBARA · SANTA CRUZ

# Stereo Impact Boom

### Pin detail

Roller: PEEK, roll pins: 300SSt, locking pin: 6061T6xx AI, ring: 6061T6xx AI, adhesive: Hysol 9309NA structural epoxy. Offset between lower and upper lock pin centerlines: 10mm, provides restoring moment.





BERKELEY · DAVIS · IRVINE · LOS ANGELES · RIVERSIDE · SAN DIEGO · SAN FRANCISCO



SANTA BARBARA • SANTA CRUZ

# Stereo Impact Boom

# Boom Deployment Detail: Mid-deployment of inner tube is shown. Outer tube, guide track have been removed. Rollers ride on tube surfaces. Upper rollers travel on outside of inner tube. End of internal guide pin visible. Lower rollers travel on inside of outer tube (not shown).

PDR 11 & 12 September 2001

... J...rich



BERKELEY · DAVIS · IRVINE · LOS ANGELES · RIVERSIDE · SAN DIEGO · SAN FRANCISCO



SANTA BARBARA • SANTA CRUZ

# Stereo Impact Boom

Inner tube fully deployed, pins inserted into sockets. Pattern repeats for each segment. Boom stiffness goal: >0.5 Hz.



Pin visible through access hole in socket





BERKELEY · DAVIS · IRVINE · LOS ANGELES · RIVERSIDE · SAN DIEGO · SAN FRANCISCO



SANTA BARBARA · SANTA CRUZ

Stereo Impact Boom

Background information for design:

For launch, the tube segments are retained by an SMA pin puller through a hole in the Stacer tip piece, which in turn is connected to the innermost (50mm diameter) tube. The tubes are held in place for



launch by comb-like devices attached to the spool mounting plate and the Magnetometer mount. Kick springs located in the spool mounting plate initiate deployment when the SMA is triggered. The Stacer provides the extension force. As the Stacer deploys, the harness is withdrawn from the spool and pulled into the center of the Stacer / tube assembly. A swivel is incorporated into the Stacer attachment at the joint of the smallest diameter tube and the next segment out to relieve the accumulated turns from the Stacer's deployment.


Stacer, spool and harness

and SMA release. This is

boom harness storage and

Stacer release. Stacer is

stowed inside of the 50mm

diameter tube. Tip piece

projects to receive pinpuller.

The harness serves as Stacer

velocity control, set by the

bobbin radii and clearances to

the spool wall. The Stacer is a

Detail section of harness, spool

almost identical to FAST axial

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY

BERKELEY · DAVIS · IRVINE · LOS ANGELES · RIVERSIDE · SAN DIEGO · SAN FRANCISCO



SANTA BARBARA • SANTA CRUZ

# Stereo Impact Boom

SMA Release

helically wound, 6.02m (237") long by 100mm (4") wide strip of Elgiloy: cold rolled, buffed, and coiled with a helix angle of 55<sup>0</sup> and a final tip diameter of 12mm (0.5"). It is stowed inside a canister for launch, and when released, transfers the stored strain energy into kinetic energy, thus deploying with great force. The 5



BERKELEY · DAVIS · IRVINE · LOS ANGELES · RIVERSIDE · SAN DIEGO · SAN FRANCISCO



SANTA BARBARA · SANTA CRUZ

# Stereo Impact Boom

connectors from the S/C to the SWEA / STE science & power; Mag; and boom release & thermal are located on the base plate of the bobbin assembly.

#### <u>Harness</u>

The harness consists of a custom fabricated wire bundle routed up the center of the boom. The bundle contains 7 coaxial cabless, 4 #26 Ga twisted pairs, and a single #26 Ga twisted shielded pair (for Mag AC heater). A common, taped, over-shield of the wires extends from the boom base to MAG-J1 and SWEA-P1, and will be tied to chassis ground at both ends (the bottom of the boom and SWEA; MAG). This assembly is additionally shielded by the Stacer (grounded at S/C), and will have another braid



over-shield from the exit of the Stacer up to the SWEA / STE. The thermal blankets will be connected to the over-shields' ground. The design of the spool and bobbin are dependent on the 'hand' of the cable, so a preliminary mock-up is being built up to get a baseline for stiffness. The coax are a custom fabrication: #36 Ga center wire with E-PTFE jacket. Aluminized kapton wrap with 8 #38 Ga drain wires, and a final E-PTFE wrap jacket. The exterior is expanded PTFE wrapped. The coaxes are the same as used for Cluster, Polar, FAST and other space applications. These have been selected for their ability to carry the high data rates required, while still fitting in the envelope of the boom.



Cable shielded by Stacer Cable shielded by Stacer STE w/ pigtail

The Magnetometer 'pigtail' is connected just past the end of the Stacer. The remainder of the harness, no longer surrounded by the Stacer, is sheathed in a copper braid, and captured in the final tube. It is routed to the SWEA mount, where the harness is terminated at the connector. The harness will be designed as a separate entity, and installed into the boom complete with connectors.



BERKELEY · DAVIS · IRVINE · LOS ANGELES · RIVERSIDE · SAN DIEGO · SAN FRANCISCO



SANTA BARBARA • SANTA CRUZ

# Stereo Impact Boom

#### Magnetometer Mount

Preliminary design, showing single piece fabrication of PEEK high performance engineering plastic. The second and third views show 'comb' for capturing tube for launch. Magnetometer is mounted via 4 screws through the base of the tray.





#### STE / SWEA Mount

#### UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY

BERKELEY · DAVIS · IRVINE · LOS ANGELES · RIVERSIDE · SAN DIEGO · SAN FRANCISCO



SANTA BARBARA • SANTA CRUZ

# Stereo Impact Boom

Fabricated from 6061-T6xx Aluminum, incorporates both instruments in a compact package. Enhances thermal control by increasing conduction, common blanketing. The SWEA is mounted to the extreme end, where its 2p radian FOV is unobstructed, and the STE-D is mounted to the side. The STE reclosable shutter and actuator are not shown.

STE thermally isolated from main mount with FR mounts





BERKELEY · DAVIS · IRVINE · LOS ANGELES · RIVERSIDE · SAN DIEGO · SAN FRANCISCO



SANTA BARBARA · SANTA CRUZ

# Stereo Impact Boom

#### Shape Memory Alloy Release

The Model P5-405-6RS is the latest addition to the P5 Pinpuller product line. It was specially designed for the Jet Propulsion Laboratory to be used aboard the Mars 2001 spacecraft. This embodiment incorporates redundant SMA (Shape Memory Alloy) triggers with integrated shut off switches, a convenient 3 ear mounting flange, and optional enclosure (as shown). As with all TiNi Pinpullers the P5-405-6RS uses the same balllock trigger mechanism developed under TiNi patent # 5,771,742 issued in June of 1998. Reset is achieved by manual re-extension of the pin via two access holes at the base of the pinpuller.

\* Custom configurations as to pull force, retraction stroke, and mounting interface are readily attainable.





BERKELEY · DAVIS · IRVINE · LOS ANGELES · RIVERSIDE · SAN DIEGO · SAN FRANCISCO



SANTA BARBARA • SANTA CRUZ

# Stereo Impact Boom



Pull Force	22.3 N	(5 lb-f)	
Pull Stroke (minimum)	6.35 mm	(0.25 in)	
Power Consumption	6 Watts @ 1 Amp		
Operational Current	0.5 to 1.5 Amp		
Actuator Resistance	6.0 ohms		
Life	> 100 cycles		
Minimum Operating Temp.	<-50 °C	(<-58 °F)	
Maximum Operating Temp.	+70 °C	(+158 °F)	
Mass	30 gm	(1.0 oz)	

Specifications

Features:

Reusable Redundant SMA Trigger Integrated Shut-Off Switches

PDR 11 & 12 September 2001



BERKELEY · DAVIS · IRVINE · LOS ANGELES · RIVERSIDE · SAN DIEGO · SAN FRANCISCO



SANTA BARBARA · SANTA CRUZ

# Stereo Impact Boom

#### <u>Thermal</u>

Initial thermal studies have been made for the boom and some of the instruments. The current estimate for the SWEA / STE shows operational heaters are required. For SWEA, the instrument dissipates ~1.5W, and requires an additional 1W at 50% duty cycle. The STE has a lower desired op-temp and minimal power dissipation, and requires ~0.5W, again at ~1/2 duty cycle. These heaters are software controlled. The Gr/E tube near the SWEA / STE will conduct away ~0.007W at -100°C. The Mag has its own AC heater, and will be fully blanketed. The Gr/E tube section between the Mag and the SWEA / STE has been identified as a possible location for some thermal tape. The details remain to be established. This would keep the heat loss from the tube lower. The midsections of the boom will be radiating to free space, and will drop to an estimated range of -150° C to -200° C. These temperature extremes have led to the inclusion of metal fasteners between the inner and outer rings, capturing the Gr/E tube to prevent loss of rigidity due to possible thermal failure of glue lines. The spacecraft end of the boom has a blanket completely surrounding the outer most tube. The interior of the boom behaves as a black body, so it must be thermally isolated from the s/c deck. There is significant heat input to the STE-U end, temperatures are expected to be in the  $-20^{\circ}$  C. range. This end will be blanketed to keep the STE-U cold.

#### PDR 11 & 12 September 2001



BERKELEY · DAVIS · IRVINE · LOS ANGELES · RIVERSIDE · SAN DIEGO · SAN FRANCISCO



SANTA BARBARA • SANTA CRUZ

# Stereo Impact Boom

#### Peer Review Status

#### 6 March 2001 Review

14	133	IMPACT Boom	Can the boom tube maintain the deployed frequency requirement under expected on-orbit thruster loads without the plungers? The Stacer (deployment force) may provide the required preload (at the end of deployment) to meet this requirement without the complication of the plungers and rails design. A boom frequency requirement should be incorporated into the Instrument Requirements Document. Also, an analysis should be performed to show that the boom design meets the given frequency requirement.	Action Item / Open
17	136	IMPACT Boom	Need to determine co-ax size to determine mechanism used for deployment.	Action Item /Open
20	137	IMPACT Boom	The current understanding is that there is a requirement for this that was provided in the original boom proposal and should be reflected in the design. My understanding is that the stiffness requirement for the IMPACT boom is 0.68 Hz, which matches the stiffness of the earlier proposed Astromast boom. Action to determine what the stiffness requirement is and include the requirement in the IRD.	Action Item/ Open

#### 1 August 2001 Review

10	IMPACT	The thermal testing of the IMPACT booms should be performed at the boom deployment temperatures if possible.	Action Item /Open
18	IMPACT	Have the contamination control personnel verify that the composite boom material is usable.	Action Item /Open





BERKELEY · DAVIS · IRVINE · LOS ANGELES · RIVERSIDE · SAN DIEGO · SAN FRANCISCO



SANTA BARBARA · SANTA CRUZ

# Stereo Impact Boom

#133: The boom cannot meet the stiffness spec. without full locked deployment. The offset of the rollers provides a centering moment. Current range of moments: 0.04kg-m to 5kg-m. depending on deployment position, based on current spring values.

#136: See page 18.

- #137: 0.68 is the calculated value for a 1 piece boom of carbon fiber. The value being held as a goal is0.5Hz. The requirement is 0.3Hz. (from extendible longeron style boom).
- #10: The thermal testing is being planned for nominal deployment temperatures, currently TBC at  $+50^{\circ}$ C /  $40^{\circ}$ C. for Qualification and  $+40^{\circ}$ C for Acceptance.
- #18: Contamination issues for the Gr/E boom: the sample is ready for inspection.



BERKELEY · DAVIS · IRVINE · LOS ANGELES · RIVERSIDE · SAN DIEGO · SAN FRANCISCO



SANTA BARBARA • SANTA CRUZ

# Stereo Impact Boom

#### Remaining Issues

Thermal data need to be fed back to optimize designs.

Structural properties need further analysis, testing. Keep APL updated with current design.

#### **Summary**

Final design imminent.

Thermal, structural requirements, and initial ICD have been established.

A prototype will be made and tested.

Details for all the different subsystems need to be integrated. The basic subsystems for the Impact boom have a long flight heritage, and are mature technologies: Stacers, GR/E structures, Shape Memory Alloy releases, all are iterations of proven designs. The integration into a telescoping boom is the next step.

Schedule is generous, no time constraints to impede forward progress.

#### PRELIMINARY DESIGN REVIEW

#### SWEA (CESR PART)

**Prepared by :** 

J.A. Sauvaud F. Cotin J.L. Medale J. Rouzaud M. Cassignol C. Aoustin

#### **CESR – Centre d'Etude Spatiale des Rayonnements**

9 Avenue du Colonel Roche – 31028 Toulouse Cedex France

#### SWEA DEVELOPMENT PROJECT ORGANIZATION



2001 – Sept – 11-12

SWEA P D R -2

**Francis Cotin** 

# • TWO ELECTRICAL TEST UNITS – ETU – WILL BE ASSEMBLED

- One complete
  - It will be used at CESR to prepare calibrations
- One with a limited number of preamplifiers and without retractable cover
  - It will be delivered to UCB for interface test, software testing and UCB test setup checkout
- TWO FLIGHT MODELS
- SPARE PARTS

2001 – Sept – 11-12

SWEAPDR - 3

#### **SCHEDULE**



2001 - Sept - 11-12

SWEAPDR - 4

**Francis Cotin** 

- Measure wide energy range 0 to 5000 eV with high spectral and angular resolution
- At low energy ( $\leq 20 \text{ eV}$ ) have the capability to :
  - Improve the nominal energy resolution
  - Reduce geometrical factor
- Field of view
  - 360° in a plane, combined with +/- 65° coverage in elevation out of plane
- Space resolution : 22,5 degrees x 22,5 degrees
- Geometric factor 0,01 cm<sup>2</sup> ster E (eV)
- Maximum count rate (per 22,5 degree sector) :  $1.10^6$  counts/sec
- One complete sequence of measurements in 2 sec
- Low power, weight

2001 – Sept – 11-12

SWEA P D R - 5

SWEA REQUIREMENTS DOCUMENTS

• Stereo impact			
<ul> <li>Performance specified</li> </ul>	fications	Vers D	2001-Jul-09
• Stereo impact			
– SWEA UCB to CE	SR Interface Control Document	Vers C	2001-Jun-05
• Stereo impact			
<ul> <li>Harness specificati</li> </ul>	on	Vers C	2001-Aug-01
Grounding Diagram	n	Rev D	2001-July-30
• Stereo impact			
<ul> <li>Performance Assur</li> </ul>	ance Implementation Plan	Vers B	2001-Jun-25
• Stereo			
– Contamination Cor	ntrol Plan	Rev B	7381-904C
• Stereo			
<ul> <li>Environnment Def</li> </ul>	inition, Observatory, Component		
<ul> <li>and Instrument Te</li> </ul>	st Requirements Document	Rev D	7381-9003
• EMC			
– Guidelines for the	STEREO Instruments		24-jan-00
2001 – Sept – 11-12	SWEAPDR - 6		Francis Cotin

- One electrostatic optics made of :
  - An ElectroStatic « top-hat » Analyzer (ESA), 360° field of view, with outer hemisphere at allowed variable potential Vo
  - 2 deflectors in front of analyzer entrance
  - 2 toroidal grids :
    - outer one at spacecraft ground
    - inner one at Vo potential

electrons from a selected energy and one elevation angle are focused on :

- Microchannel plate detector two rings
- 16 sectors anode to provide 22,5° resolution in azimuth
- A retractable cover

2001 – Sept – 11-12

SWEAPDR - 7

#### SCHEMA OF ELECTRON ANALYZER

BOOM AXIS COVER ENTRANCE ACTUATOR GRIDS Vo FOV 360 x +/- 65° Titt - Vo GRID ----MICROCHANNEL Retter PLATES SECTORED ANODE (16) PREAMPS (16)-SYMMETRY AXIS

2001 – Sept – 11-12

SWEAPDR - 8

**Francis Cotin** 

## SWEA FRONT-END ELECTRONICS DESCRIPTION



- 16 charge sensitive preamplifiers (CSP) and discriminators
- One non regulated High Voltage Power Supply (HVPS) that supply :
- 3 High Voltages Amplifiers (HVA) programmed by analog voltage levels
  - Must have a wide dynamic range (O to + 1500 volts)
  - Must track together well
  - Have fast rise time and fall time (1 kV/300  $\mu$ sec)
  - Analyzer : HVA (0 to + 750 volts) deflector 1 or 2 : HVA (-25 to + 1500 volts)
- One programmable power supply (Vo : 0 to 25 volts)
- One regulated HVPS for MCP (0 to + 3500 volts)
- Anodes and preamplifiers closely coupled

2001 - Sept - 11-12

SWEAPDR - 9

#### SWEA FRONT END BLOCK DIAGRAM



2001 – Sept – 11-12

SWEA P D R - 10

**Francis Cotin** 

- Top-hat analyzer parameters are :
  - $R_{out} = 40,3mm \qquad R_{in} = 37,5 mm \qquad ? R/R_{in} = 0,075$
  - Energy to analyzer voltage ratio T/qV k = 7
  - Energy resolution  $? \cong 10 \%$
- With 50 logarithmic energy steps between  $E_0 = 1eV$  and  $E_{49} = 5000eV$ 
  - $\Delta E/E \cong 0,173 \qquad \text{and} \qquad V_{max} = 714 \text{ Volts}$   $V_{min} = 0,14 \text{ Volt}$
- By applying a Vo potential to the inner toroidal grid and the outer hemisphere of the analyzer we can :
  - Reduce the geometric factor by a factor of  $[1 + Vo/k (Vo-V)]^2$
  - Improve the energy resolution by a factor of [1 + Vo/k (Vo-V)]
- This improvement at low energy will be obtained if high voltages and Vo potential are precise and stables

2001 - Sept - 11-12

SWEAPDR - 11

- Top hat analyzer
  - Manufactured with the standard process (scalloping)
  - Inside surfaces of analyzer covered with black conducting coating
- Deflectors
  - Simulations of particle collection shows dissymetry between positive and negative elevation
  - Manufactured in ULTEM and recovered with gold
- MPC
  - Two complete rings stacked with 50  $\mu$ m space between them
  - Mounting on board with all high voltage coupling components
  - One grid in front at Vo potential and entrance of MCP at + 300 volts
- Grids
  - Each surface obtained with 4 sectors
  - One support structure in AU2GN with 8 ribs
- Retractable cover : actuated with shape memory alloy pinpuller Top-hat analyzer has nearly identical geometry as the one flying in HIA Cluster Instrument MCP are identical to GIOTTO-RPA one and other space instruments (Wind,...) Deflectors and grids are modified version of MGS Instrument

2001 – Sept – 11-12

SWEA P D R - 12

**STEREO IMPACT** 

2001 - Sept - 11-12

SWEAPDR -13

**Francis Cotin** 

dert: J. 2012010

**STEREO IMPACT** 

#### ANALYZER DESIGN (4/4)



MCP CHARACTERISTICS



2001 – Sept – 11-12

SWEAPDR - 15

**Francis Cotin** 

CESR

- Charge sensitive preamplifier-discriminator : A 111 F AMPTEK
  - Threshold adjustable during calibrations between 5.  $10^4$  and 5.  $10^5$  electrons
  - Pulse width : 260 to 310 us
  - Maximum count rate :  $2.5 \ 10^6 \text{ CPS}$  (periodic)
- Test input with capacitor designed on the printed circuit
- Test pulses delivered by a counter, driven by a programmable frequency up to 1 MHZ
- Preliminary lay-out of preamplifier board made
- Manufacturing specifications ready

2001 – Sept – 11-12

SWEAPDR - 16

## • High Voltage Power Supply for MCP

- Range : 0 to + 3500 volts
- Command : analog voltage 0 to 5 volts
- on/off by enable command
- + 28 V supply routed via the enable plug
- Housekeeping : analog voltage 0 to + 5 volts
- Breadboard mounted and tested
  - With various loads simulating MCP
  - With temperature range  $+30^{\circ}$ C,  $-80^{\circ}$ C

2001 – Sept – 11-12

SWEA P D R - 17

**Francis Cotin** 

CESR

High Voltage Power Supply for analyzer and deflectors

- One non regulated power supply generating all voltages required by the high voltage amplifiers (+1700V, -250V, -5VNR, +5VNR, -32V)
  - On/off by enable command
  - Housekeeping : analog voltage 0 to + 5 volts
  - + 28 V supply routed bia the enable plug
- 3 High Voltage Amplifiers made with high voltage optocouplers developped at CESR
  - Command analog voltage 0 to -5 volts
  - Housekeeping : analog voltage –50mV to +5 volts
  - Current transfer ratio > 0.5 %
  - 3 kV isolation voltage
  - Slew rate  $\geq 1 \text{ kV}/300 \,\mu \text{sec} (20 \text{ pF Load})$
- Evaluation with different Op. Amp.in order to obtain best accuracy and stability
  - LT 1024 selected

2001 – Sept – 11-12

SWEAPDR - 18

STEREO IMPACT

PREAMPLIFIER BOARD



2001 – Sept – 11-12

SWEAPDR - 19

**Francis Cotin** 

#### RISE AND FALL TIME OF H.V.A



2001 – Sept – 11-12

SWEAPDR - 20

# STEREOSWEA MECHANICAL DESIGN APPROACHIMPACTAND FEATURES

- SWEA is composed of an electrostatic optics, 3 electronics boards, one housing
- Packaging design approach is based on having :
  - The electrostatic analyzer mounted on the MPC Board
  - The 3 electronics board mechanically assembled together and with feedthrough contacts for electrical connections
  - Grid structure is mounted on the housing and support upward deflector, cover and cover actuator
- Connection with the interface board (UCB) with a pig-tail connector (micro-D 51 pin)
- Electrical cables routed to the top of the instrument through two ribs of the grid structure (cover + 28V, cover Ret, deflector 1 voltage)
   Vo will be distributed to the cover via the inner grid
- Purging tube is going through holes at the center of electronic boards to the MCP isolated between mounting board and outer hemisphere of the analyzer
- Venting by small holes at the base of the analyzer

2001 - Sept - 11-12

SWEAPDR - 21

## THERMAL DESIGN APPROACH AND FEATURES 1/2



- In normal operation instrument will be in the shade
- Temperature will be programmed to a set point with the help of an operational heater controlled by software
- In no operating mode, SWEA is provided with 1,00 watt (TBC) through a thermostatically controlled heater
- Temperature dependant of mean value of S for the detector aperture and thermal conduction with the boom
- Due to the relative complexity of this aperture (2 toroidal grids with large transparency, shape of deflector), mean S was estimated between 0,05 and 0,15

2001 – Sept – 11-12

SWEAPDR - 22

#### THERMAL DESIGN APPROACH AND FEATURES 2/2



- Detail analysis in progress with :
  - New value for the power dissipation (1,47 watts)
  - Conductance to boom 0,013 W/K
     Boom temperature : -90°C
  - Grids golded (?=0,03) and KAPTON MLI (?=0,025,  $\alpha$ =0,016)
  - Solar thermal constant : 1068 w/m<sup>2</sup> , 1366,5 w/m<sup>2</sup> , 1769 w/m<sup>2</sup>

Preliminary results are :

In operating mode	T = 238 K
Non operating mode	
back illuminated	T = 249  K to 256 K
lateral illuminated	T = 294  K to 315 K

- Need test to validate a model
- One sensor will monitor temperature of the MCP

2001 – Sept – 11-12

SWEAPDR - 23

MASS ALLOCATION AND STATUS

ESTIMATE	ALLOCATION	
440	1 210 g	
30	-	
200		
100		
150		
230		
60		
1 210 g		
	ESTIMATE 440 30 200 100 150 230 60 $1\ 210\ g$	

Thermal blanket Heaters and thermoswitches

2001 - Sept - 11-12

SWEA P D R - 24

**Francis Cotin** 

POWER ALLOCATION AND STATUS

CESR

SUBSYSTEM	PHASE A (mW)	CURRENT (mW)	TEST CONDITIONS
Preamplifiers dis.	100	100	
HVPS MCP	250	211 - 230	Vs = 2500 V R = 50MO
HVPS analyzer	100	45 min	$C_L = 20 pF$
		102 max	
HVPS deflectors	60	40 min	
		140 max	
Vo polarisation	30	50	
Tot	al 540	446 min	
		662 max	

Allocation 540 mW

2001 - Sept - 11-12

SWEAPDR - 25
#### SWEA SIZE



2001 - Sept - 11-12

SWEAPDR - 26

- Small experienced group : P.A integrated into the engineering tasks
- PAP (ref : SWEA-AP-0044-CESR) describes the way that the intent of PAR will be met within the constraints of budget and as makes sense for a small group
- Hardware development and fabrication work will be performed using CNES and ESA standard PA/QA procedures
- PA organization
  - Lead by PM/PAM F. Cotin/C. Aoustin assisted by CNES
  - EEE Parts J.L. Medale
  - MMP J. Rouzaud
- Reliability
  - Instrument designed to permit ease of assembly, test, within contraints of mass and power
  - All designs are internally reviewed before release and manufacturing agreement
  - Design checked to satisfy derating levels under worst case condition

2001 – Sept – 11-12

SWEAPDR - 27

SAFETY

• No exposed high voltages

### CONTAMINATION CONTROL

- MCP are extremely sensitive to contamination from air condensables, humidity and particulates
- Detector to be protected through launch by sealing analyzer in a dry nitrogen atmosphere with in-flight retractable cover
- Material selection inside of sealed system to be carefully controlled
- In addition SWEA will have a non-flight cover to improve contamination resistance and protect delicate grids
- SWEA will have attachment for permanent purging
- Purging with dry Nitrogen (boil-off) : 5 liters/hour
- Few-hours interruption in the purge flow is acceptable in clean environment

2001 – Sept – 11-12

SWEAPDR - 28

- Preliminary components list prepared
  - Ref : SWEA-AP-0048-CESR
- All parts purchases by CESR
- Most of the parts purchased to specification SCC Level C equivalent to :

_	Class B	MIL	M 38510	Integrated circuits
_	JANTXV	MIL	S 19500	Active components
_	LEVEL	R	MIL ER	Passive components

• When purchased at lower level, tests for upgrading will be performed by a specialized company in Toulouse – HIREX – (LT 1024 for example)

#### MATERIALS, MECHANICAL PARTS AND PROCESSES

Preliminary declared materials list and declared processes list prepared
– Ref : SWEA-AP-0050-CESR and SWEA-AP-0049-CESR

2001 - Sept - 11-12

SWEAPDR - 29

# STEREO<br/>IMPACTMANUFACTURE, INTEGRATION AND TESTCESR

- Mechanical pieces (electrostatic optics and housing)
  - Preliminary study prepared by CESR
  - Detail manufacturing drawings, machining of all pieces, surface treatment subcontracted to a company in Toulouse - COMAT – working for space instrumentation

Grids will be manufactured by CORIMA

- Integration at COMAT facilities with CESR collaboration
- Electronics boards
  - Detail schemas prepared by CESR
  - Lay-out of printed boards, components soldering subcontracted to a company in Toulouse – MICROTEC – working for space instrumentation
  - Printed boards manufactured by SYSTRONICS at space level
  - Integration of MCP on detection board at CESR
  - Electrical tests, vacuum tests at board level and assembly at CESR

2001 - Sept - 11-12

SWEAPDR - 30

#### SWEA (CESR) VERIFICATION MATRIX

CESR

HARDWARE DESCRIPTION	TEST	ETU	FM	SPARE
1/ Component Level				
МСР	Gain		Х	Х
Preamplifier	Threshold		Х	Х
-	Dead Time		Х	Х
Optocouplers	Current transfer ratio	Х	Х	Х
2/ Subsystem Level				
Preampli – board	Electrical tests (gain,	Х	Х	Х
	threshold)			
HVPS board	Electrical tests	Х	Х	Х
	Thermal tests	Х	Х	Х
All boards (3)	Bake-out	Х	Х	Х
3/ <u>Instrument</u>	Vibrations	Х	(*)	
	Electrical tests	Х	Х	Х
(*) Environmental tests on	Gain and noise tests (in	Х	Х	Х
complete unit at UCB	vacuum)			
	Beam calibration	Х	Х	Х
	Interface verification	Х	Х	Х
	Thermal balance	Х	(*)	
	Thermal test in vacuum	Х	Х	Х

2001 - Sept - 11-12

SWEA P D R -31

- Boards testing limited to electrical functional tests and thermal calibrations
- Remaining tests performed at the instrument level as appropriate
- Vacuum test to be performed at CESR as part of preliminary calibrations
- Thermal/vacuum, vibrations, EMC/EMI will be performed at UCB
- At component level
  - Microchannel plates : gain uniformity, channel bias angle effects
  - Preamplifiers : threshold sensitivity, dead time
  - High voltage power supplies : sweep, rise an fall times, accuracy, stability
- At subsystem level
  - Energy-angle response without and with deflection at high energy
  - Interface to SWEA/STE interface

2001 - Sept - 11-12

SWEAPDR - 32

#### CONCERNS/PLANNED RESOLUTIONS TECHNICAL RISK IDENTIFICATION CRITICALITY

CESR

#### ITEM

CRITICALITY ACTION

•	Weight	Minor	Detail design action
•	Grids manufacturing	Major	Other manufacturer identified – contact will be established
•	Grid transparency	Minor	Trade off between structural rigidity and transparency
•	Cover actuator	Minor	Obtain detail information with help of UCB
•	Deflector shape	Minor	Detail design action
•	Thermal	Major	Detail design action
			Test on a breadboard

2001 – Sept – 11-12

SWEAPDR - 33



2001 – Sept – 11-12

SWEAPDR -34

**Francis Cotin** 

CESR



2001 - Sept - 11-12

SWEAPDR - 35

**Francis Cotin** 

CESR



2001 – Sept – 11-12

SWEA P D R -36



2001 – Sept – 11-12

SWEAPDR - 37



2001 – Sept – 11-12

SWEAPDR -38



2001 – Sept – 11-12

SWEAPDR - 39

**Francis Cotin** 

CESR



2001 – Sept – 11-12

SWEA P D R -40

**Francis Cotin** 

CESR

CESR



2001 – Sept – 11-12

SWEAPDR - 41



2001 – Sept – 11-12

SWEA P D R -42

**Francis Cotin** 

August 21, 2001 Sheet

Date

CESR



2001 – Sept – 11-12

SWEA P D R -43



2001 – Sept – 11-12

SWEA P D R - 44



## **SupraThermal Electron Detector (STE)**

#### **STE Overview**

- STE is a new instrument to cover the electron energy range 2-20keV (between SWEA and SEPT) with enhanced sensitivity
  - Reasonably sized electrostatic analyzers have insufficient sensitivity
  - Standard silicon detector telescopes cannot reach this low an energy
- STE uses small, low capacitance, passively cooled silicon detectors with ultra low noise electronics
  - Similar detectors have been successfully used for X-rays down to 1keV
  - Interpolation of dead layer information from larger detectors indicates electrons down to 2keV can be measured
- A large FOV is required to cover the solar wind electrons and back-streaming electrons
  - Two oppositely directed 80 degree by 80 degree FOV oriented along the Parker Spiral covers the halo electron distribution the majority of the time.
  - Detector sensitivity to visible light requires the FOV to be clear of any object that can scatter sunlight

#### **STE Performance Requirements**

Description	Goal	Requirement
FOV	Two opposite 80 x 80	60 x 60 degree
	degree	
Resolution	80 x 20 degrees	60 x 20 degrees
Energy	2 - 100 keV	5 – 100 keV
Energy Resolution (Telemetry)	35%	100%
Energy Resolution (Electronic)	300eV FWHM	2keV
Geometric Factor	$0.4 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ ster}$	$0.1 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ ster}$
Background	<1c/s/detector	<30c/s/detector
Max Count Rate (per detector)	100,000 counts/sec	10,000 counts/sec
Time Resolution	16 seconds	1 minute
	2 seconds (burst)	





**STE Optics** 





#### STE Signal Chain (one of 4)



#### STE Design

- There are 2 STE Units per spacecraft
  - STE-U (Upstream), mounted on the sunward (fixed) end of the boom
  - STE-D (Downstream), mounted near SWEA at the end of the boom
  - Divided to provide clear fields of view
- The STE Units contain only the detectors and preamps
  - Minimizes thermal dissipation to simplify passive cooling
- The rest of the electronics is located in SWEA and the IDPU, and is discussed later
- STE must have reclosable doors
  - Contamination on the ground, during launch, and during thruster firings
  - Damage due to overheating if detector is exposed to sunlight
  - Simple SMA actuators similar to ones designed for HESSI will be used, controlled by the IDPU

#### **STE Development Plan**

- A Breadboard STE has been developed and is currently in test to verify the design
  - Prototype (possibly Flight) detectors have been fabricated by LBNL
  - A Breadboard Charge Sensitive Amplifier showing 750eV noise has been designed and fabricated, and is now in test at LBNL
  - Breadboard Pulse Height Analyzer (shaper, ADC) has been designed and fabricated and is now in test at UCB
  - Integrated tests with electrons shall start shortly at UCB
- Once the breadboard tests verify the design, an ETU shall be built to verify the layout and mechanical design, and thermal sensitivity
  - This should be complete by CDR
- Two flight units shall be fabricated following CDR

#### **STE Development Schedule**

			2002 2003 2004 2005
ID	Task Name	Start	AMJJASONDJEMAMJJASONDJEMAMJJASONDJEMAMJJASONDJEMAMJJASONDJEMAMJJASO
1	IMPACT_Milestones	2/2/0	
1	Project Phases	2/2/00	
7	Project Milestones	2/2/00	
8	IMPACT Phase A Contract	2/2/00	
9	SRR	5/24/0	
11	PDR	9/30/0	
18	CDR	11/1/0	<b>B</b> ∩DR
25	PER	9/7/03	Ban
32	Instrument Delivery	10/1/0	Instrument Delivery
33	Launch	11/12/0	
34	IMPACT Milestones	6/9/00	IMPACT Milestones
2	IMPACT IDPU	1/1/0	IMPACT_IDPU
3	IMPACT SSI	2/2/0	IMPACT_SSI
4	IMPACT STE	5/26/0	IMPACT_STE
2	STEETU	5/26/00	STE ETU
3	SRR	5/24/00	
4	STE Optics Design	5/26/0	
5	STE Box Design	7/16/01	STE Box Design
6	STE ETU Box Fab	9/10/0	STE ETU Box Fab
7	STE ETU Detector	5/26/0	STE ETU Detector
8	STE ETU Detector Tests	7/9/01	STEETU Detector Tests
9	STE CSA Design	5/26/0	STE CSA besign
10	STE CSA Breadboard/Test	7/9/01	TE CA Breadboard/Test
11	STE ETU CSA Layout	8/20/0	STE TU CSA Layout
12	STE ETU CSA PWB Fab	9/3/01	t STE ETΨ CSA PWB Fab
13	STE ETU CSA Load	9/17/0	
14	STE ETU CSA Test	10/1/0	Ĩ_sTE ETU CSA Test
15	STE ETU I&T	11/5/0	
16	STE Long Lead Parts Procure	7/9/01	STE Long Lead Parts Procure
18	STE FM Detectors	12/31/0	STE FM Detectors
21	STE FM1	11/1/02	ste FM1
22	STE FM1 Box Fab	11/1/0	STE FM1 Box Fab
23	STE FM Detector Test	11/1/0	STE FM Detector Test
24	STE FM1 CSA PWB Fab	11/1/0	T STE FM1 CSA PWB Fab
25	STE FM1 CSA PWB Coupons	11/15/0	Lastre FM1 CSA PWB Coupons
26	STE FM1 CSA Load	12/6/0	T STE FM1 CSA Load
27	STE FM1 CSA Test	12/20/0	STE FM1 CSA Test
28	STE FM1 I&T	1/3/03	
29	STE FM1 Calibrate	1/31/0	
30	STE FM1 Clean/Stake/Coat	2/28/0	TE FM1 Clean/Stake/Coat
32	STE FM1 Thermal Vacuum/Ba	9/8/03	STE FM1 Thermal Vacuum/Balance
34	STE FM2	9/29/03	STEFM2
35	STE FM2 Box Fab	9/29/0	TE FM2 Box Fab
36	STE FM2 CSA Load	9/29/0	THE FM2 CSA Load
37	STE FM2 CSA Test	10/13/0	₫-sttE FM2 CSA Test
38	STE FM2 I&T	11/24/0	6 STE FM2 1&T
39	STE FM2 Calibrate	12/8/0	The second
40	STE FM2 Clean/Stake/Coat	1/5/04	The second secon
41	STE FM2 Thermal Vacuum	1/19/0	di STE FM2 Thermal Vacuum
5	IMPACT POWER	7/31/0	IMPACT_POWER

David Curtis



#### **STE Verification Plan**

															Revision Date: 8/27/01					
																				Revision Number: 1
	Hardware Description	Test																		
Level of Assembly	ltem	Elect. test, rm. Temp	Bench Calibration	Elect. Test, ho	Elect. Test, cold	Vibration, Sinusoidal	Vibration, Random	Shock	Acoustics	Thermal Vacuum	Voltage margins	Thermal cycle	Thermal balance	Life Test	EMC/EMI	Magnetics	Beam Calibration	Bakeout	Contamination	Comments
С	Detector, EM	Х																		
С	Detector, F	Х																	Х	
С	Preamp, BB	Х	Х																	
I	Instrument, BB	Х	Х	Х	Х						Х						Х			
I	Instrument, ETU	Х	Х	Х	Х												Х			
I	Instrument, F	Х	Х	Х	Х	н	н	н	Α	Х	Х		Х	Х	Н	Х	Х	Х	Х	
Legen	d:																			
	Level of Assembly	Uni	it Type X = Test required																	
										A =	Ana	alysis	s							
	C = Component	BB	Bre	adb	oarc					H =	= Test at higher level of assembly						sem	bly		
	I = Instrument	EM Engineering Model																		
		PΤ	Pro	toty	ре															
		PF	Pro	toflig	ght															
		F =	Flig	ht																



### **SWEA / STE Interface**



#### **SWEA/STE Responsibilities**

- SWEA CESR
  - Includes Electrostatic optics, MCP detector, HVPS, Preamps
- STE UCB
  - Detectors and Preamp design from LBNL
- SWEA/STE Interface UCB
  - Includes LVPS, STE Bias Supply, Controls, PHA, Accumulators, Interface to IDPU
  - Mounted in the base of SWEA



#### **STE Interface Accommodation**

- There are 2 STE Units per spacecraft
  - STE-U (Upstream), mounted on the sunward (fixed) end of the boom
  - STE-D (Downstream), mounted near SWEA at the end of the boom
- The STE Units contain only the detectors and preamps
- The rest of the STE-U electronics are mounted in the IDPU
- The rest of the STE-D electronics are mounted in the base of SWEA
- A common circuit board design shall be developed for both the SWEA and IDPU hosted electronics
  - The PWB shall also include SWEA Interface electronics
  - The SWEA interface electronics shall not be populated in the IDPUhosted PWB
- The interface electronics includes shaper, ADC, binning electronics, (collectively called the Pulse Height Analyzer, or PHA) and Serial Instrument Interface to the IDPU processor

#### **SWEA/STE Interface Requirements, IDPU**

- Interface between SWEA and STE instruments and the IDPU
- IDPU Interface:
  - Mode Commands to control instrument settings
    - No high-bandwidth requirements; instrument runs itself
  - Data Readback
    - SWEA Counters, STE Accumulator, Housekeeping
    - About 50kbps throughput required
    - Sequencer to automatically sample & read out data
  - Common timebase clock for synchronized, low-jitter, deterministic sampling
  - Common interface design with other instruments to IDPU
    - Common development
    - Common GSE
  - Minimize number of wires down IMPACT boom
  - Meet EMC requirements
- Implementation Described in IMPACT Serial Interface document and IDPU presentation

#### **SWEA/STE Interface Requirements, SWEA**

- SWEA Interface:
  - Provide interface to the CESR-provided analyzer per the SWEA ICD
  - Voltage control for 5 analyzer voltages
    - MCP, VO, Analyzer, Deflector 1, Deflector 2
    - VO, Analyzer & Deflector Supplies must have programmable waveforms
      - 625 samples/second, 2 second period
    - Analyzer and Deflector supplies are referenced to VO in the supplies to simplify controls
    - Analyzer requires a 16-bit DAC to get sufficient accuracy (5%) over the dynamic range (3000x)
    - Deflector supply controls should be multipliers based on Analyzer control to minimize dynamic range requirements (1% of Analyzer voltage)
  - Counters for Anode 16 pulses (logic pulses)
  - 6 Voltage and one temperature housekeeping monitors
  - Test pulse generator (digital pulses), HV Enables (logic levels)
  - SWEA Cover Actuator power (switched 28V primary)

#### **SWEA/STE Interface Requirements, STE**

- STE Interface:
  - 4 analog chains
    - Charge Sensitive Amplifier inside STE
    - Shaper
    - Discriminator (programmable threshold)
    - ADC (200x dynamic range, 5% DNL -> 14-16 bit ADC)
  - Pulse height analyzer
    - Programmable Energy binning to 16 log-spaced energy channels
    - 64-channel double-buffered accumulator (16E x 4 Det.)
    - >15KHz/detector throughput
  - Test pulse generator to test electronics
    - Ramped-amplitude pulse generator
    - Capacitively coupled into preamp inputs
    - When pulser active, only allow events near pulse times
  - Temperature housekeeping monitor
  - Cover Actuator power (switched 28V primary)
### **SWEA/STE Interface Requirements, Misc**

- Miscellaneous Requirements
  - Survival heaters (thermostatically controlled)
    - SWEA and STE in parallel on one spacecraft circuit
    - SWEA heater/thermostat part of SWEA/STE Interface
  - Operational Heaters
    - SWEA and STE heaters powered off the primary 28V
    - IDPU-Software Controlled FET switches
  - Spacecraft-monitored temperature sensor (in SWEA)
    - Only one to minimize wires down boom; two instrumentmonitored sensors when SWEA/STE is on.
    - Mounted in SWEA/STE Interface
  - Green-tag Enable Connector
    - Separate loops to enable:
      - MCP HV
      - Analyzer/Deflector HV
      - SWEA Cover

### SWEA/STE-D Interface Block Diagram (In SWEA)



### **STE-U Interface Block Diagram (In IDPU)**



### **SWEA/STE Interface Logic**

- SWEA/STE Interface Logic implemented in an FPGA, augmented with a memory for Look-up tables (LUT) and STE accumulator
- Actel RT54SX32S baselined
  - Common FPGA selected for IMPACT team
  - Rad tollerant (100krads)
  - High Rel available
  - 2880 logic modules
- Actel specification to be developed by SSL (Curtis)
- Actel design to be developed/tested by Elf
  - Same arrangement & personnel used on HESSI
- Power is constrained (budget is 100mW for the Logic)
  - 1MHz clock looks adequate for sequencers
  - Asynchronous and/or slow clocks to be used where sensible
    - Ripple counters will be used for SWEA accumulators
- Attention will be given to good Actel design practices

### **SWEA/STE Interface Memory Requirements**

- The memory is used for:
  - STE Energy Look-up Table
    - 12-bit ADC output plus 3-bit detector ID results in a 4-bit energy bin number, plus the same 3-bit detector ID
    - 32k x 8 bits
  - STE Accumulator
    - 16 Energies, 4 Detectors, 16 bit accumulators, double-buffered
    - 256 x 8 bits
    - Incrementer uses up to 4 memory cycles to increment an accumulator; Read, Increment, Write 8 LSB, repeat for 8 MSB on carry out of LSB
  - SWEA DAC Waveform Look-Up Table
    - Fastest waveform update rate is deflectors, at 625Hz
    - Typically only one DAC updated per step
      - code in RAM as 8-bit ID plus 8-bit value
      - Sequencer reads out DAC settings until STOP bit in ID set
    - 2 second period; sized at 1250 samples \* 4 DACs \* 16 bits \* 2 buffers = 20k x 8

### **SWEA/STE Interface Memory Requirements (Continued)**

- Total Size requirement < 64Kbytes
  - Could reduce to 32Kx8 by using common STE LUT for all detectors
  - Will use common SRAM with IDPU 512Kx8
- Access Rate:
  - STE Accumulation: 15,000 events/sec/det \* 4 Det \* 5 cycles/event = 300,000 cycles/second (includes LUT & Accumulate)
  - STE readout: 1MHz serial bitrate to IDPU (burst) = 63,000 cycles/second max (Note: reset accumulator following readout of full accumulator, so no bandwidth impact)
  - LUT Write: 1MHz serial bitrate from IDPU (burst) = 125,000 cycles/second max
  - SWEA LUT readout; Up to 5 DAC bytes need to be updated in one sample interval (625Hz) = 3,125 cycles/second MAX (Note: DACs are double-buffered)
  - Total bandwidth required: 491KHz
  - Using 1 RAM cycle per 1MHz clock, can allocate 8 fixed time slots at 125KHz: 5 for STE Accumulation, 1 for STE readout, 1 for LUT write, and one for SWEA LUT readout (allows up to 30,000 STE events/sec/det)

### **SWEA/STE Interface Layout**



**David Curtis** 

### **SWEA/STE Interface Mechanical**

- SWEA/STE Interface is mounted in the base of SWEA
  - UCB provides electronics mounted to the end-plate of the SWEA electronics enclosure
- Volume: 12cm diameter cylinder 3 cm long
  - LVPS takes 2cm, remainder fits on one PWB 12cm in diameter, 1 cm high
  - LVPS space allocation is probably too small
    - Baseline LVPS is 10x10x2 cm, while we have room for 8x8x2
    - Space is constrained by SWEA and STE FOV, and by undeployed boom length
    - SWEA FOV can handle up to 15.2cm diameter.
    - Final diameter is TBR, following a rough layout of the LVPS in the next few weeks.

### **SWEA/STE Interface Development and Verification Plans**

- An ETU of the SWEA/STE Interface shall be developed at UCB
  - FPGA developed by ELF
  - Interface tests with STE, SWEA, and IDPU
  - Support SWEA & STE Functional & performance tests at UCB
- Four Flight Units shall be developed and tested at UCB
  - Two for IDPU, without SWEA Interface
  - Two with SWEA Interface
- The interface circuits shall be be functionally tested with the STE ETU and Flight Units per the STE verification plan
- The interface circuits shall be environmentally tested with the IDPU and SWEA units respectively per their verification plans.

### **SWEA/STE Interface Development Schedule**

		_	2002 2003 2004 2005 2	20
ID	Task Name	Start	I A SION D J FIM A M J J A SION D J FIM A M J J A SION D J FIM A M J J A SION D J FIM A M J J A SION D J	J
1	IMPACT_Milestones	2/2/0		
1	Project Phases	2/2/0		
7	Project Milestones	2/2/0		Je
8	IMPACT Phase A Contract	2/2/0		
9	SRR	5/24/0		
11	PDR	9/30/0	PDR	
18	CDR	11/1/0	CDR	
25	PER	9/7/0		
32	Instrument Delivery	10/1/0	Instrument Delivery	
33	Launch	11/12/(		m
34	IMPACT Milestones	6/9/0	IMPACT Milestones	
2	IMPACT_IDPU	1/1/0		
3	IMPACT_SSI	3/6/0	IMPAC1_SSI	
1	SWEA/STE Interface Design	3/6/0	SWEA/STE Interface Design	
3	SWEA/STE PWB Form Facto	3/6/0	STE PWB Form Factor	
4	SWEA Baseplate Design	3/20/0	A Baseplate Design	
5	SSI System Design	3/6/0	/stem Design	
6	SSI FPGA Specifications	4/3/0	FPGA Specifications	
7	SSI FPGA Design	5/15/0	SSI FPGA Design	
9	ETU SWEA/STE Interface	5/15/0	ETU SWEA/STE Interface	
10	SSI PWB Layout	5/15/0	SSI PWB Layout	
11	SSI PWB Fab	6/12/0	SSI PWB Fab	
12	SSI Board Load	6/26/0	SI Board Load	
14	SSI ETU I&T	12/21/(	SI ETU IST	
17	SSI/STE/LVPS ETU I&T	7/1/0		
19	SSI/SWEA ETU I&T	7/15/0	SS/SWEA ETU I&T	
21	SWEA/STE ETU Combined Test	7/29/0	SWEAUSTE ETU Combined Test	
23	FM1 SWEA/STE Interface	11/1/0	FM1 SWEA/STE Interface	
24	SWEA FM1 Baseplate Fab	11/1/0	SWEA FM1 Baseplate Fab	
25	SSI PWB Fab	11/1/0	SSI PWB Fab	
26	SSI PWB Coupons	11/15/(	SSI PWB Coupons	
27	SSI FM1 Board Load	12/6/0	TSSI FM1 Board Load	
28	SSI FM1 Test	12/20/(	SSI FM1 Test source to the second s	
30	SSI FM1 I&T	6/3/0		
31	SSI FM1 Clean/Stake/Coat	6/17/0	-SSI FM1_Clean/Stake/Coat	
33	FM1 SWEA I&T	11/3/0		
34	FM1 SWEA Calibrate	11/10/(	FM1 SWEA Calibrate	
36	FM1 SWEA Thermal Vac	12/8/0	G-FM1 SWEA Thermal Vac	
37	FM1 SWEA Complete	12/19/(	FM1 SWEA Complete	
40	FM2 SWEA/STE Interface	11/10/0	FM2 SWEA/STE Interface	
48	FM2 SWEA I&T	1/5/0	FMZ SWEA I&T	
49	FM2 SWEA Calibrate	1/19/0	FM2 SWEA Calibrate	
50	FM2 SWEA Thermal Vac	2/16/0	there are a set of the set of th	
51	FM2 SWEA Complete	2/27/0	FM2 SWEA Complete	
4	IMPACT_STE	5/26/0	IMPACT_STE	

### **SWEA/STE Peer Review Open Action Items**

#	DB#	REGARDING	REVIEWER WRITE-UP	CLASSIFICATION/ STATUS	DISPOSITION
7	128	IMPACT STE and SWEA	Both STE and SWEA have relatively warm cold limits (-50C and -20C, respectively), but they have no power allocated for thermal control in a very cold environment. Simple thermal analysis could be done to develop a heater power estimate. Action is to build a thermal model to run all thermal scenarios for STE and SWEA.	Action Item /Open	Operational heaters have been allocated to SWEA, STE, and MAG based on thermal modeling. The SWEA model needs more work to come to a consistent heater size.



# Instrument Data Processing Unit (IDPU)



**David Curtis** 

### **IDPU Requirements**

- A single-point interface between the IMPACT and PLASTIC instruments and the spacecraft C&DH system (1553 bus)
- Provide instrument control, data collection, compression, and formatting for the IMPACT and PLASTIC instruments
  - Note SEP has its own processor, so the IDPU acts mostly as a bent pipe for commands and telemetry to SEP
- Provide a home for miscellaneous instrument electronics, such as the MAG front end and the second STE front end
- Provide a common design, simple serial interface to the instruments tailored to meet the instrument needs
  - Instrument mode commands
  - Instrument data collection
  - Common sample and spacecraft timing distribution
- Provide a 2Mbyte Burst Memory to record short intervals of high time resolution data

### **IDPU Interfaces**

- Spacecraft 1553 Interface
  - Command, Telemetry, Status, Timing
  - SWAVES Burst Trigger Exchange
    - RT to RT once a second in each direction
- Spacecraft 28V power interface
  - powers IDPU, MAG, STE-U
- Spacecraft Thermal Interface
  - Coupled to Spacecraft Deck, -23 to +55C Design/Test limits
- Serial Instrument Interfaces
  - SEP, PLASTIC, SWEA/STE
  - MAG and STE-U interfaces to internal cards
- MAG Sensor Interface
  - To MAG Front End Card in IDPU
- STE-U Interface
  - To STE Front End card in IDPU



#### **IDPU Resources**

IDPU:	Mass, kg	Power, W
Mag Card	0.30	0.38
DIB Card (STE)	0.30	0.20
DPU Card	0.30	0.80
S/C Interface (on DPU card)		0.50
IDPU LVPS	0.20	1.07
Mag Heater Control	0.07	
BOX	0.96	
IDPU Total:	2.12	3.05

IDPU:	Packet He	50							
bps	Packet Co	Packet Collect Time							
	Housekee	Housekeeping							
	Playback I	Playback Beacon							
	Burst Play	Burst Playback							
		Total	650						

### **IDPU Responsibilities**

- System Design Dave Curtis
- MAG Analog GSFC / Mario Acuna
- MAG Heater GSFC / Mario Acuna
- LVPS Peter Berg
- Data Controller Board, FPGAs Elf / Dorothy Gordon
- STE Detector Interface Board Steve McBride
- Mechanical Design Heath Bersch
- Flight Software Dave Curtis
- EGSE:
  - IDPU Simulator Hardware Elf / Dorothy Gordon
  - IDPU Simulator Top Level Software Mike Hashii
  - IDPU Simulator SWEA, STE, MAG Software Mike Hashii
  - IDPU Simulator PLASTIC Software UNH
  - IDPU Simulator SEP Software Caltech
  - Command & Display GSE Mike Hashii
  - SWEA, STE, MAG Science Displays Mike Hashii
  - SEP Science Displays Caltech
  - PLASTIC Science Displays UNH

### **Controlling Documents**

- IMPACT Performance Requirements Document covers Science Requirements on the IMPACT Suite
- IMPACT / Spacecraft ICD covers the spacecraft interface
- IMPACT Serial Interface document (ICD) covers the data interface between Instruments and the IDPU (including PLASTIC)
- IMPACT PAIP covers the performance assurance requirements
- STEREO EMC and Contamination Control plans
- IDPU Specification Document describes the IDPU (Data Controller Board) Hardware
- IDPU Software Requirements Document describes the Flight Software Requirements
- IDPU Software Development Plan described flight software development
- IDPU Simulator Specification covers the IDPU Simulator GSE Hardware







### **IDPU Card Tray**



## Preliminary Design Review 2001-September 11,12



David Curtis



### **Data Controller Board Layout**





#### Processor

- UT80CRH196KD available high-rel, rad-hard from UTMC
- 16-bit micro controller based on Intel design
- Can clock up to 20MHz; limited by low-power RAM access speed to 12MHz. Adequate throughput with generous margins (2x) at 8MHz.
- Low power processor with high speed ALU for computational tasks (SWEA and PLASTIC moment computations)
- 64Kbyte addressing requires a paged memory system
- Low Power standby mode allows extra processor margin to be designed in without wasting power (put processor to sleep when not being used)
- Built in watchdog timer
- Built in serial interface for diagnostics
- Processor Bus Arbitration scheme for Direct Memory Access scheme to get data in and out quickly with little processor overhead



#### Memory

- Boot PROM contains startup code and ability to reload EEPROM and RAM from ground command
  - 8 kbytes adequate; estimate about 5kbytes usage.
  - RAD Hard Bipolar PROM with power switch (off when not used)
- EEPROM contains normal operational code and look-up tables
  - 128Kx16 is huge over-kill; Estimate 18kbytes of flight code.
  - Sei rad tolerant package, low SEU rate, no SEL
  - In-flight reprogramable via Boot PROM
- 2Mbytes of static rad-hard RAM (UTMC 512K x 8), mostly for Burst memory plus some telemetry buffering

### **1553 Interface**

- UTMC Summit part
  - RAD Hard, High Rel, Highly Integrated
- Connected to processor memory via DMA
  - Control tables
  - Data buffers
  - Low processor overhead

### **Data Controller Board FPGA**

- Processor Interface Logic implemented in an FPGA
- Actel RT54SX32S baselined
  - Common FPGA selected for IMPACT team
  - Rad tollerant (100krads)
  - High Rel available
  - 2880 logic modules
- Actel specification to be developed by SSL (Curtis)
- Actel design to be developed/tested by Elf
  - Same arrangement & personnel used on HESSI
- Attention will be given to good Actel design practices

### **Processor Interface Logic**

- Instrument Serial Interface Implementation
  - DMA telemetry into processor memory to off-load processor
- Spacecraft interface glue logic (another DMA channel)
- Synchronous clock generation based on a 24MHz crystal
  - 1553 interface (24MHz)
  - Processor clock (8MHz)
  - Serial interface clock (1MHz)
  - Sampling clock (divided down from 1MHz by instruments)
  - Processor Timing Interrupt (64Hz)
- Memory Paging
  - Processor 64kbyte addressing broken into four 16kbyte blocks
  - Each block can be paged into one of 128 RAM pages, or 16 EEPROM pages
  - Boot PROM comes in in boot page on reset

### **DPU Bus Arbitration - Latency and Loading Effects**

Bus Client	CPU Bus Load (msec)	Max "Stackup" Delay ( <b>m</b> ec)	Allowable Delay ( <b>ns</b> ec)	% Latency Margin		
1553 Bus (SuMMIT)	2.04	0.7	5	86%		
Plastic	13.5	1.2	16	92.5%		
Mag	0.176	1.2	16	92.5%		
SWEA	3.44	1.2	16	92.5%		
STE-U	0.044	1.2	16	92.5%		
SEP	0.086	1.2	16	92.5%		

Total (Average) CPU Bus Loading due to SuMMIT/Telemetry DMA and associated overhead: 1.93%

Maximum time claimed by Hardware Subsystem during any CPU Bus Grant: approximately 3µsec Maximum Instantaneous CPU Bus Loading due to SuMMIT/Telemetry DMA and associated overhead: 18%

Calculations based on:

Memory Arbitor running at 24MHz

Each Memory Access incurs 2 Wait States

SuMMIT is highest priority; telemetry clients are random priority; CPU is lowest priority

- Worstcase CPU Bus Loading calculation assumes separate bus negotiation is required for each DMA transaction
- SuMMIT is programmed for circular message queues, memory based interrupt logs, and non-buffered mode
- 1553 Bus Traffic: 20 (32 word) commands and 25 (32 word) telemetry messages per second

### **Serial Instrument Interface**

- Interface between IDPU and MAG, SWEA/STE, SEP, PLASTIC, and STE-U
- As defined by IMPACT Serial Interface document
- Three-wire serial digital single-ended interface
  - Continuous 1MHz Clock
  - Serial Data Out (Command)
  - Serial Data In (Telemetry)
- R-C rise time limiters to reduce EMC
  - OK by Manning (SWAVES)
- Coax or shielded wire harness
  - Breadboard shows good waveforms and timing with an 8m harness
- No handshaking; system designed to handle maximum throughput at both ends
- No gating; start/stop bit synchronization like RS232
- 24 bit Commands in data out (8 bit ID, 16 bit data)
- Blocks of 16-bit Telemetry in
  - Blocks include an identifying header (5 bit ID, 10 bit block length)
- Synchronous 1-second time tic command



### **STE Detector Interface and MAG Front End Boards**

- These board are housed in the IDPU box, but are logically part of the instruments, and are described in the STE and MAG sections.
- They are provided power by the IDPU LVPS, and are connected to the IDPU via Serial Instrument Interfaces identical to the remote instruments, but via internal connectors.

### **IDPU Manufacturing, Integration, and Test Plans**

- The IDPU Box, DCB, STE DIB, and LVPS are built at UCB
- The MAG Front End and heater controller are built by GSFC
  - MAG card tray provided by UCB
  - An IDPU simulator GSE allows the MAG to be tested and calibrated in a standalone mode prior to delivery to UCB for integration
- An ETU will be built prior to CDR
  - Verifies IDPU design prior to flight build
  - Verifying interfaces with instrument ETU
  - Flight Software Build #1 used for ETU tests
  - Used for subsequent Flight Software development
- Two flight units will be built following CDR, and integrated at UCB
  - The Boot code PROM and Flight Software Build #2 are installed at this time
- Vibration and Thermal Vacuum tests performed at the box level
- The final build of the flight software is acceptance tested and installed
- A series of interface tests with the instruments is made, followed by an EMC test of the suite.
- Prior to delivery, the IDPU is cleaned and baked out.

### **IDPU Verification Matrix**

																			Revision Date: 8/23/01
																			Revision Number: 1
	Hardware Description	Test																	
Level of Assembly	Item	Elect. test, rm. Temp	Elect. Test, hot	Elect. Test, colc	Vibration, Sinusoidal	Vibration, Random	Shock	Acoustics	Pressure change	Voltage margins	Thermal Vacuum	Thermal balance	>100 hours Operation	EMC/EMI	Magnetics	Leak	Bakeout	Contamination	Comments
С	PWB, EM	Х								X									
	IDPU EM	Х	Х	Х						X			Х	Х					
С	PWB, F	Х								X							Х		
1	IDPU, F	Х	Х	Х	X	X	Х		А	X	X		Х				Х	Х	EMC at Suite level
1																		]	
Legen	d:																		
	Level of Assembly	Uni	t Ty	ре								X =	Tes	st red	quire	d			
												A =	Ana	alvsi	s				
	C = Component	BB	BB = Breadboard																
	I = Instrument EM = Engi					Engineering Model													
		PT = Prototype																	
		PF = Protoflight																	



### **IDPU Schedule**





## **Low Voltage Power Converters**


### LVPS OVERVIEW

- INPUT IS SPACECRAFT 28 VOLTS (22-35 VOLTS NOMINAL)
- COMPLIES WITH 7381-9030 GUIDELINES
- DEDICATED SUPPLIES PER SUBSYSTEM
- TOPOLOGIES CHOSEN TO PROMOTE EFFICIENCY
- ALL SUPPLIES SYNCHRONIZED TO CRYSTAL CONTROLLED
   100KHZ MULTIPLES
- SUPPLIES ARE SOFT STARTED TO MINIMIZE TURN-ON STRESSES – INPUT CURRENT CONTROLLED
- TRANSFORMERS FARADAY SHIELDED TO REDUCE ELECTROSTATIC NOISE



#### LVPS OVERVIEW CONTINUED

- INPUT TO SUPPLIES EMPLOYS BOTH COMMON MODE AND DIFFERENTIAL MODE FILTERS TO IMPROVE NOISE SUPPRESSION
- OUTPUTS EMPLOY COMMON MODE FILTERS TO IMPROVE NOISE SUPPRESSION
- INPUT IS SPACECRAFT 28 VOLTS (22-35 VOLTS NOMINAL)
- GENERATION 5 FETS USED FOR MAXIMUM EFFICIENCY
- USE SCREENED COTS INTEGRATED CIRCUITS



## LVPS VOLTAGES

- IDPU:
  - ± 12 Volts Analog
  - ± 5 Volts Analog
  - -200 Volts Bias
  - +5V Digital
  - +2.5 Volts
  - Efficiency ~65%
  - Output Power = 2.5 Watts
- SWEA:
  - ± 12 Volts Analog
  - ± 5 Volts Analog
  - - 200 Volts Bias
  - +5 Volts Digital
  - +2.5 Volts
  - Efficiency ~60%
  - Output Power = 1.0 Watts

- PLASTIC
  - ± 12 Volts Analog
  - ± 5 Volts Analog / Digital
  - +2.5 Volts
  - Efficiency ~ 75%
  - Output Power = 7.11 Watts
- SEP
  - ± 12 Volts Analog
  - +5 Volts Analog
  - +7.5 Volts Analog
  - +3.3 Volts Digital
  - +5 Volts Digital
  - +2.5 Volts Digital
  - -5.2 Volts Digital
  - 3500V HVPS located in SIT
  - Efficiency ~ 65%
  - Output Power = 3.9 Watts



### **SIT HVPS**

- SUPPLY IS SINE WAVE FOR LOWEST NOISE
- SIX OUTPUT TAPS, MAXIMUM VOLTAGE IS 3500 V
- RUNS AT ~ 65 KHZ
- INPUT IS ± 12 VOLTS FROM SEP SUPPLY
- OPERATES AT SEA LEVEL AND DEEP SPACE
- EFFICIENCY ~ 60 %



**David Curtis** 



## **FAB AND TEST NOTES**

- MAGNETICS WOUND AT SSL
- HIGHER VOLTAGE MAGNETICS VACUUM IMPREGNATED
- CIRCUITS TESTED FOR STABILITY, REGULATION, NOISE AT TEMPERATURE, INPUT AND OUTPUT EXTREMES



## POWER SUPPLY AVAILABILITY

• ETU

IDPU	6/02
SEP	7/02
SWEA	8/02
PLASTIC	11/02

• FLIGHT

FM1	6/03
FM2	12/03



#### **POWER SUPPLY CONCERNS**

- COMBINATION OF LOW POWERS, NOISE AND EFFICIENCY
   CREATE OPPOSING REQUIREMENTS
- RADIATION ENVIRONMENT
  - SOLUTION APPROACH
    - USE COTS PARTS AND SCREEN FOR PERFORMANCE AND/OR REPACKAGE
    - OVER CURRENT PROTECTION WHERE POSSIBLE



# **IDPU Flight Software**

#### **IDPU Software Requirements**

- First draft of Software Requirements Document 6/01
- First draft of PLASTIC software requirements from UNH 6/01
- Top level requirements:
  - Support Spacecraft 1553 Interface per the Instrument ICD
  - Support Instrument Interfaces per the hardware and software specification
  - Collect, compress, and format telemetry data into CCSDS packets
  - Pass on mode-setting commands from the ground to instruments
  - Limited automation:
    - Set SWEA offset voltage to track spacecraft bias voltage
    - PLASTIC solar wind tracking and entrance system selection
    - MAG ranging
  - Instrument safing (response to HV anomaly, and spacecraft thruster/power down notifications)
  - Support a Burst memory system to collect high time resolution data for short intervals based on a burst trigger criteria
  - Share burst trigger data with SWAVES via RT to RT 1553 transfers

#### **IDPU Software Architecture**

- Use a simple custom polling loop operating system
  - Tasks requiring fast turn-around are associated with the timer or other interrupt
  - Slower tasks run out of a polled loop in foreground
  - No preemptive scheduling or dynamic memory allocation required
  - Similar to schemes used on many previous instruments
- Tasks are modular and as independent as possible
  - Especially PLASTIC, to allow independent operation by UNH
- Hardware designed to relieve software of most time-sensitive tasks
  - Instrument sequencers allow instruments to cycle independantly
  - DMA system collects data into IDPU memory automatically
- Code will utilize software watchdogs on each task, together with a hardware watchdog, to monitor operation
- Software will regularly re-load all instrument registers to protect against SEU
- On reset the system will boot from the boot PROM, which will then verify the contents of the EEPROM, and transition to EEPROM code. A new EEPROM or RAM code image can be loaded by the boot PROM from ground commands

#### **MAG Software Requirements**

- Average MAG data to 4 samples/second, format into CCSDS packets
- Format 32 sample/second data into CCSDS packets for Burst memory
- Average MAG data to 1 minute and pass to Beacon telemetry formatter
- Perform ranging functions to select one of two gains based on previous measurements (only expected to be used on the ground)

### **SEP Software Requirements**

- Pass on all SEP command packets (by ApID) and Spacecraft Time via Serial Instrument Interface
- Pass on all Telemetry Packets received via Serial Instrument
   Interface to Telemetry packet queue
- Pass SEP Housekeeping data received via the Serial Instrument
   Interface to Housekeeping packet formatter
- Pass SEP Beacon data received via the Serial Instrument Interface to Beacon packet formatter

#### **STE Software Requirements**

- Initialize and periodically reload STE Energy to Accumulator lookup table via Serial Instrument Interface from IDPU EEPROM
- Initialize and periodically reload STE threshold DAC values via Serial Instrument Interface from IDPU EEPROM
- Log-compress counters and format into CCSDS packets to pass to the Burst system
- Time Average and Log Compress counters and format into CCSDS packets for real-time telemetry
- Time and Space Average and Log Compress counters and pass to Beacon telemetry formatter

#### **SWEA Software Requirements**

- Initialize and periodically reload SWEA voltage waveform look-up table via Serial Instrument Interface from IDPU EEPROM
- Initialize and periodically reload SWEA control registers via Serial
   Instrument Interface from IDPU EEPROM
- Accumulate raw counter measurements into a three-dimensional distribution measurement
- Compute Moments, Pitch Angle Distributions and Full 3D distributions with desired time and space resolution and format into CCSDS packets for the Burst, Real Time, and Beacon telemetry streams
- Adjust SWEA bias voltage based on measured distribution function to offset spacecraft charging effects

#### **PLASTIC Software Requirements**

- Instrument Control Tasks:
  - Decoding and routing instrument mode and table load commands
  - Controlling the energy at which apertures are switched based on measured count rates
  - Controlling "Solar Wind Tracking Mode" when Bursts are triggered to get high time resolution data
  - HV safing in response to an Arc
- Data Tasks: Collect, compress, and format
  - Housekeeping data
  - Monitor Rate data
  - Matrix data (from the classification board)
    - Proton and Alpha Moments
    - Reduced Proton and Alpha Distributions
    - Heavy Ion Distributions
  - Raw Event Data
    - Event Prioritization
  - Beacon Mode data

#### **Burst System Requirements**

- High time resolution sampling of selected data
  - MAG @ 32Hz
  - SWEA, STE at 2 seconds
  - PLASTIC H,He at 4 seconds
- Continuous data collection into a circulating buffer
  - PLASTIC burst mode must be triggered, and so is not continuously recorded
  - Buffer sized for on the order of 10 minutes of high time resolution data
- Continuous evaluation of burst trigger criteria based on instrument data
  - Trigger on changes in SWEA count rate in a selected energy band
  - Trigger cooperatively with SWAVES based on SWAVES activity
- Freeze collection buffer a fixed interval after the trigger event to provide data both before and after the trigger
- Continue to look for more triggers, saving the "best" event in the time interval between burst playback
  - Play back burst data using a fraction of the normal telemetry on a continuous basis.

#### **IDPU Flight Software Resource Estimates**

			Buffer	
	Processor	Code Size,	Memory,	
Task	Cycles, %	kbytes	kbytes	
Service Software:				
Operating System	1%	0.3 (b)	32.0	
1553 Interface	1%	3.0 (b)	8.0	
Command Router	<1%	0.7 (b)	10.0	
Telemetry Packet Queue	<1%	0.3 (b)	10.0	
Burst System	1%	1.0	1500.0 (*)	
Serial Instrument Interfaces	1%	0.5	75.0	
Housekeeping	<1%	0.2 (b)	0.6	
Beacon Telemetry	<1%	0.2	0.6	
Event Logging	<1%	0.3 (b)	0.6	
Safing	<1%	0.5 (b)	0.3	
MAG Software	1%	0.5	1.2	
SEP Software	1%	1.0	2.5	
SWEA Software:				
SWEA Moments	20%	1.0	17.0	
SWEA Distributions	4%	2.5	10.8	
SWEA Misc.	1%	1.0	2.5	
STE Software	1%	1.0	3.7	
PLASTIC Software:				
PLASTIC Distribution	6%	2.0	185.6	
PLASTIC PHA	5%	0.5	46.6	
PLASTIC Moments	5%	1.0	45.6	
PLASTIC Misc.	1%	1.0	2.5	
Total	55%	18.0	1955.1	
Available	100%	32 (**)	2048	
% Usage	55%	56%	95%	

(\*) Nominal value. Burst memory will expand to use all unallocated memory space.

(\*\*) 32K is maximum code that can be paged into memory at one time. Paging code in and out is a complication, but possible.

(b) To be included (at least in part) in Boot PROM. PROM = 8kbytes, usage = 66%

#### **Software Development Plan**

- First draft Software Development Plan 8/01
- IDPU Flight Software to be developed at UCB by a single programmer
- Code to be developed in modular, structured assembly code
- Software to be developed and tested on the ETU IDPU, with ETU Instruments or simulators
- Software to be tested at the module level, then at the IDPU level in an acceptance test, and finally at the system level in interface and suite tests
- Software to be developed in builds of progressing complexity:
  - First Build to test processor hardware
  - Second build provides at least minimal functionality required to verify suite hardware (without automation and more complex telemetry products)
  - Third build should be for Flight
- Prior to installation in the flight hardware, code is maintained using a log book which contains the development history, testing, problems, etc.
- Following installation in flight hardware, software is under the same control as the hardware (configuration control, problem reports, etc.)

### **Software Development Tools**

- Use Commercial Phyton PC-based 80C196 development tools
  - Assembler/Linker
  - Simulator (for early module testing)
- ROM Emulator (for fast testing)
- Logic analyzer (for those subtle timing problems)
- ETU Data Controller Board
  - Note that IDPU Simulator GSE is based on the same architecture as IDPU DCB (commercial 80C196KD with similar memory and FPGA); can be used for early software development and test
- EGSE:
  - APL Spacecraft Emulator
    - UCB-developed Command and Telemetry GSE
    - UCB-developed Science Display GSE (MAG,SWEA,STE)
    - SEP, PLASTIC Science Display GSE
  - UCB-developed Instrument Simulator
  - Instrument ETUs
  - UNH-provided PLASTIC simulator

#### **Software Development Schedule**

•	Software Development Plan	PDR (9/01)
•	Software Requirements Document(s)	PDR (9/01)
•	Software Requirements Review	12/01
•	Software Design Complete, start Coding	1/02
•	First Build Complete, ETU Available	8/02
•	Software Design Review (part of CDR)	CDR (11/02)
•	Critical Code Walkthrough /	
	Acceptance Test Review	6/03
•	Boot PROM Acceptance Test	8/03
•	Boot PROM Install in DCB of FM1	9/03
•	Second Build Complete	9/03
•	Third Build Complete, Acceptance Test	3/04



# **Ground Support Equipment**

### **IDPU Simulator GSE**

- Developed at UCB (with Elf)
- Provides ability to test instruments in the absence of the IDPU and verify the instrument/IDPU interface
- Consists of a black box (IDPU Simulator GSE, ISG) plus a PC
- ISG includes most of the functionality of the Data Controller Board, minus the 1553 interface
  - Includes a commercial 80C196 processor, FPGA with an early version of the flight DCB FPGA
  - Interfaces with PC via the printer port
  - Designed to IDPU Simulator Specification Document by Elf (who also designs the DCB)
  - Can be used as a test bed for IDPU software
  - Has an added Instrument Simulator feature which works the serial instrument interface in the reverse direction for IDPU testing
- PC software shall be developed at UCB
  - Based on LabWindows CVI development system
  - HESSI GSE heritage; STOL-like scripted command system
  - Science display modules written at the instrument home institution

#### **Command & Telemetry GSE**

- Works with Spacecraft Emulator at suite I&T level
- Works with MOC at Spacecraft I&T level
- Runs commands and command scripts
  - STOL-like language
- Remote commanding & display via secure internet connection
- Displays housekeeping and instrument status information with limit-checking / alarms
- PLASTIC command scripts may be run on the IMPACT C&T GSE, or on a separate C&T GSE running the same software

### **Science Display GSE**

- Decodes and Displays science data from instruments
- Provides adequate information to determine the health and functionality of the instrument in the I&T, Commissioning, and mission environment
- Runs on a second workstation (PC) in order to provide more display space, separate science and engineering functions, and improve the reliability of the C&T system
- Science Display GSEs will get data from the MOC or Spacecraft
  Emulator
- Science displays shall be developed by the instrument teams:
  - MAG, SWEA, STE UCB / Hashii
  - SEP Caltech
  - PLASTIC UNH



#### **Instrument Bench Checkout Configuration**



### **IMPACT Suite Integration GSE Configuration**





## **IMPACT Spacecraft Integration GSE Configuration**





## **IMPACT Mission Operations GSE Configuration**



#### **GSE Software Development**

- The IMPACT IDPU Simulator, Command & Telemetry, and Science GSE are PC-based systems running LabWindows-CVI based software
- The software is based on a long series of GSE developed at Berkeley, most recently for Lunar Prospector and HESSI.
- The software is modularized so that much of the existing code will be usable
- The software already has most of the features required (user interface, command scripting, database-driven command encoding and telemetry decoding, TCP/IP data interface, data decommutation and limit-checking, some science displays)
- The programmer who developed the most recent version of the GSE (HESSI) is base-lined to develop the IMPACT version.



# SUITE INTEGRATION AND TEST



#### **Verification Matrix**

- The verification matrix will consist of the instrument performance requirements matrix plus selected functional and environmental requirements to verify compliance with the documented Mission Environmental Requirements and ICD
  - Performance Requirements listed above. Most will be verified during calibrations or by analysis
  - Functional Requirements to be verified by a Comprehensive Functional Test, mostly aimed at verifying electrical functionality and compliance with the electrical interfaces in the ICD
  - Software Requirements to be verified in a system-level acceptance test prior to committing to Flight Hardware
  - Environmental requirements shall be verified by test or analysis as described in the Verification Plan, outlined below.

#### **Suite Environmental Test Matrix**

System	EMC	Bakeout	Thermal	Thermal	Sine	Random	Mass	Failure
			Vac Cycling (Op/NonOp)	Balance	Vib	Vib	props	Free Hours
SEP								
- SEPT-NS			-15 - +10C	TBR				
			-25 - +30C					
- SEPT-E		$\checkmark$	-15 - +10C	TBR		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
			-25 - +30C					
- SIT		$\checkmark$	-15 - +10C	TBR		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
			-25 - +30C					
- HET, LET,		$\checkmark$	-15 - +10C	TBR	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
Common Elec.			-25 - +30C					
Boom Assy		$\checkmark$		TBR		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
- Boom	Mag		TBD					
	Screening							
- SWEA	Mag		-25 - +30C					
	Screening		-30 - +50C					
- STE	Mag		-5030C					
	Screening		-50 - +40C					
- Mag Sensor			-20 - +45C					
			-20 - +45C					
IDPU		$\checkmark$	-23 - +55C			$\checkmark$		
			-30 - +60C					
Flight Harness								
IMPACT Suite	RS,RE,CS,CE							100
	per EMC							
	Requiurements							



#### **Integration & Test Flow**





### **Integration & Test Flow (Continued)**


## **STEREO IMPACT**

## SEP I&T

- Four instruments tested separately to the extent possible
  - LET at Caltech/JPL
  - HET at GSFC
  - SIT at UMd/GSFC
  - SEPT at Kiel
- SEP Common integrated & tested at Caltech
  - Low Voltage Power Supply from UCB
  - Detector bias supply from Space Instruments
  - Analog/post-reg board from Space Instruments
  - Logic board from Caltech
  - Mechanical parts from GSFC
- SEP Common/IDPU interface test at UCB and/or Caltech
- Integrate into SEP system at Caltech
- Test SEP at Caltech
- Vibration/Thermal vac/Thermal balance at JPL
- EMI/EMC at UCB with the IMPACT suite



## Suite I&T Flow

- Boom Suite:
  - SWEA fabricated & calibrated at CESR, delivered to UCB
  - SWEA integrated with interface electronics, tested, Thermal Vac tested at UCB
  - STE fabricated, calibrated, thermal-vac tested at UCB
  - MAG sensor fabricated, calibrated, thermal-vac tested (?) at GSFC
  - Boom fabricated, tested, thermal vacuum tested, vibrated at UCB
  - Boom/MAG/SWEA/STE integrated at UCB
  - Boom vibrated, thermal balance, mass props, baked out as a suite
- IDPU
  - MAG analog fabricated, tested, calibrated at GSFC
  - LVPS, DCB, DIB, box fabricated and tested at UCB
  - IDPU Integrated, tested, thermal vac tested, mass props, vibrated at UCB
- IMPACT Suite:
  - Suite integrated at UCB, functional & EMC testing (with PLASTIC)